1 (Case called) 2 THE COURT: We are here for purposes of conducting the 3 cross-examination of Mr. Walpert, the plaintiff. So please 4 proceed. 5 GARY WALPERT, 6 called as a witness by the Plaintiff, 7 having been previously duly sworn, testified as follows: CROSS-EXAMINATION 8 9 BY MS. DENENBERG: 10 0 Good afternoon. 11 Good afternoon. 12 Yesterday we were reviewing your work history. Do you 13 recall? 14 My work history? Α 15 Q Your work history. 16 Yes, ma'am. Α 17 How long were you at K&L Gates? 18 Α Two years. What is the exact time frame? 19 20 About February 2008 to May 2010. Α Why did you leave K&L Gates? 21

- 23 and at the same time, I had an essentially two-year contract
- 24 with K&L Gates, and that ended.
- 25 Q You said you thought you had a better opportunity with

- Mr. Jaffrey? 1
- 2 I did. Α

- Then, how come you started working at Byrne Poh in May of 3
- 4 2010, as well?
- 5 That was, as Mr. Jaffrey called it, an insurance policy in
- case the opportunity with Mr. Jaffrey did not positively occur. 6
 - So you're saying it was Mr. Jaffrey's idea?
- 8 He suggested that, yes.
- I have an extensive disclosure of your emails. Where was 9
- 10 that ever reflected in any of your emails?
- 11 I wouldn't know since I haven't reviewed them recently.
- 12 Isn't it true, though, that you started at Byrne Poh almost
- 13 immediately after you started working at K&L Gates?
- 14 That's correct. Α
- 15 The partners at Byrne Poh, you knew them from your days
- back at Wilmer Pickering? 16
- 17 I think you mean Wilmer Hale.
- 18 Sorry. Wilmer Hale.
- 19 Yes, ma'am. Α
- 20 When did you start talking with them about starting to work
- 21 with them?
- 22 After I had started or had accepted the idea of going with
- 23 Mr. Jaffrey.
- So in a matter of two days, you became a partner or of 24
- 25 counsel at Byrne Poh?

- Α I'm sorry. Two days?
- 2 You left K&L Gates in May 2010, and you started at
- Byrne Poh in May 2010. I don't know how much overlap there was 3
- 4 but not much.
- 5 There was no overlap between Byrne Poh and K&L Gates. I
- had talked with the attorneys at Byrne Poh at a time prior to 6
- 7 starting with Mr. Jaffrey.
- Okay. Just referring to Exhibit Number 1, which you claim 8
- is the employment agreement --9
- 10 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I have the original exhibits
- 11 here. You want me to give them to him?
- 12 MS. DENENBERG: Sure.
- 13 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
- 14 BY MS. DENENBERG:
- 15 Presumably, this document is accurate. You say it was
- 16 signed --
- 17 THE COURT: If you can just ask a question and not
- 18 comment.
- BY MS. DENENBERG: 19
- 20 What is the date on the document?
- 21 The effective date of the document is 17 June 2010.
- 22 You were already working at Byrne Poh when this was
- 23 allegedly signed?
- 24 That's correct. Α
- 25 I believe yesterday you said you prepared this document?

- Α I had it prepared, yes.
- 2 Where are the prior versions of it? 0
- If there were any prior versions left, they would either be 3
- 4 in the attorney's office who prepared the document or perhaps
- 5 in my own computer system.
- 6 We have requested all of your documents, and your attorneys
- 7 have not provided any prior versions.
- Then there may not be any prior versions in their files. I 8
- do not know. 9
- 10 THE COURT: Let me ask a question. Did you have a
- 11 computer in the offices of Wingate?
- 12 THE WITNESS: I did.
- 13 THE COURT: What happened to that computer?
- 14 THE WITNESS: That computer was disposed of at the
- 15 same time that everything else was taken out of the offices.
- THE COURT: All right. Is that the computer you used 16
- 17 to prepare this employment agreement, or did you use some other
- 18 computer?
- 19 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure. I did have a personal
- 20 computer.
- 21 THE COURT: But as you sit here today, you don't know
- 22 which computer you used to prepare this?
- 23 THE WITNESS: I don't recall. No.
- 24 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.
- 25 THE WITNESS: Excuse me. I didn't prepare it.

1 THE COURT: You had somebody prepare this document for 2 you? 3 THE WITNESS: That's right. An outside law firm. 4 THE COURT: Go ahead. BY MS. DENENBERG: 5 6 Who prepared this document for you? 7 I don't remember the name of the law firm. Lawyer Laurie Burke-Weiss. 8 9 THE COURT: Was she at a law firm or practiced alone? 10 THE WITNESS: No, there was a small law firm, 11 Burke-Weiss and someone. Maybe Pechman. BY MS. DENENBERG: 12 13 Did you have any email communications with her with respect 14 to preparing this document? 15 Α I believe I did. Where are those? They have not been exchanged in 16 17 discovery. If they're not in discovery, the likelihood is they don't 18 exist anymore. They were probably in my Wingate account. 19 20 You had a habit of emailing yourself at your Gmail account. 21 Α No, I did not. 22 MS. DENENBERG: Okay. May I take a moment to find some exhibits here? 23 24 THE COURT: Yes.

```
BY MS. DENENBERG:
1
 2
          So you're saying you did not email yourself to your Gmail
      account from the Wingate account?
 3
     Α
 4
         Ever?
 5
     Q
          Frequently.
6
     Α
          Not frequently, no.
 7
          Did you frequently use your Gmail account?
      Q
          Not so much in that time frame, no.
8
9
               MS. DENENBERG: Your Honor, may I mark some documents
10
      for exhibits?
11
               THE COURT: Yes.
12
               MS. DENENBERG: May I approach?
13
               THE COURT: Yes.
14
               MR. BROWN: Your Honor, apparently there aren't copies
15
      for --
               MS. DENENBERG: I made three. I didn't know to make
16
17
      four.
            I'm sorry.
18
               THE COURT: We have one for the witness, one for
      yourself, and one for opposing counsel, but not one for the
19
20
      Court?
21
               MS. DENENBERG: I'm sorry.
22
               THE COURT: I will deal without it. So you can
23
     proceed in that fashion. You can make copies later and provide
```

them to the Court. All right, Ms. Denenberg?

MS. DENENBERG: Certainly.

24

1 THE COURT: Do you have an exhibit number? 2 MS. DENENBERG: It will be three exhibits, for expediency, to mark them. 3 4 THE COURT: All right. How are they marked? 5 THE DEPUTY CLERK: A, B, and C. 6 THE COURT: These will be Defense Exhibits A, B, 7 and C. All right. Go ahead. 8 9 BY MS. DENENBERG: 10 Starting with the document marked Exhibit A --11 Yes, ma'am. 12 -- is that an email from your Wingate account? 13 Α It does not appear to be. 14 It doesn't say gwalpert@wingate? Exhibit A. Q 15 No, ma'am. Α MS. DENENBERG: May I see them, your Honor, please? 16 17

THE COURT: Sure. You may approach.

MS. DENENBERG: It is backwards. That's okay.

BY MS. DENENBERG: 19

So Exhibit A is an email from February 28, 2012,

21 10:07 a.m.?

18

20

22

23

24

25

Α Yes.

Is that from your Gmail account?

Α It is.

> Does that refresh your recollection that you used your Q

- Gmail account? 1
- I never said I didn't use the Gmail account. 2
- 3 You used your Gmail account for communications with
- 4 Mr. Jaffrey?
- 5 Sometimes, but typically no.
- Okay. By the way, what is this email? 6
- 7 Could you be more specific?
- Well, judging from the attachment, can you tell what this 8
- 9 email is?
- 10 It is an email to Mr. Jaffrey, attaching what is the
- attachment. I'm not sure --11
- 12 Did you prepare this attachment?
- 13 I believe I did, yes. Α
- 14 And this attachment, again, is 10:07 a.m., and you write,
- 15 "Apologies" --
- THE COURT: Wait a second. This isn't in evidence 16
- 17 yet, so you can't read from it.
- 18 MS. DENENBERG: I would like to move this in evidence.
- 19 THE COURT: Any objection?
- 20 MR. BROWN: No objection.
- 21 THE COURT: Defense Exhibit A is received.
- 22 (Defendants' Exhibit A received in evidence)
- 23 This is your email. What does the subject line say? Q
- 24 Compensation package revised. Α
- 25 And you prepared this document --Q

- I believe I did. Α
- 2 -- that is attached to it? 0
- 3 Referring --
- 4 Excuse me. There is a question because of the notation at Α
- 5 the bottom of the document with an NY document number, but I
- 6 think I prepared this.
- 7 What does that number at the bottom mean, NY787070,
- version 1? 8
- I can only guess that it is a document number, but I don't 9
- 10 know its source.
- 11 Did Byrne Poh use little footers like this to identify
- 12 documents?
- 13 Not like this, no. Α
- 14 What did their footers look like?
- 15 To the extent they had any footers, it would have been a
- matter number; but on almost all the Byrne Poh documents I have 16
- 17 seen, there are no footers.
- 18 Do they put footers on their draft documents?
- 19 Α No.
- 20 I attached the wrong document last night. Let's move to
- 21 Exhibit B. Exhibit B, do you recognize that document?
- 22 Α It is a document from me to Mr. Jaffrey.
- 23 And what time does that one say?
- 24 2:49 a.m. on the 28th of February.
- 25 MS. DENENBERG: I would like to move this document

into evidence, as well. 1

No objection, your Honor. MR. BROWN:

THE COURT: Defense Exhibit B is received.

(Defendants' Exhibit B received in evidence)

BY MS. DENENBERG:

- The reference to the 10 a.m. one -- I attached the wrong document last night -- is that referring to the 2:49 a.m.
- email? 8

2

3

4

5

6

- I'm sorry. I didn't understand your question. 9
- 10 Exhibit B is February 28, 2:49 a.m., and Exhibit A is the 11 same date, 10:07 a.m.
- 12 Α Yes.
- 13 Would it be fair to say that the 10:07 one is referencing 14 the 2:49 a.m. one?
- 15 Α It could be. Probably is.
- Why were you sending Mr. Jaffrey a document entitled "Gary 16 17 Walpert Compensation Package"?
- As best as I can recall is that it was a document that he 18 asked for in connection with a potential investment or investor 19 that he was dealing with.
- 20
- 21 You're saying that, in addition to Exhibit 1, where you're 22 getting compensated \$900,000, you're now proposing a separate 23 compensation package of \$2 million?
- 24 Well, in fact, I wasn't being compensated, obviously, by 25 Mr. Jaffrey. But aside from that, this is a document that

ALH Cross - Walpert

- 1 Mr. Jaffrey requested in order to show investors.
- 2 0 What investors?
- 3 A Excuse me? I don't know who they were.
- Q So this is not a new contract, this is just something in addition?
- A This is what it is, and it is something that Jaffrey asked
 for. What he was going to use it for, I don't know. If I had
- 8 been lucky, perhaps, it would have been the contract that he
- 9 | would have been able to execute.
- Q So you would have been the general counsel and the
 executive vice president, making approximately \$3 million for
- 12 | two different companies; is that what you're saying?
- A No. No. I would have been happy to have received what any one of these contracts had said.
- 15 Q Then, why are you making this proposal, then, if you are not being compensated under Exhibit 1?
- 17 A The proposal, as I recall, was something Mr. Jaffrey wanted 18 and asked me to include what I needed to make up for what he
- 20 Q So you just prepared this out of the goodness of your 21 heart?
- 22 A No. He had asked for it. He had asked for it not only
 23 from me but from one other person.
- 24 0 Let's refer to Exhibit C.

hadn't paid.

19

Just one more thing about Exhibit B.

- 1 A Yes, ma'am.
- 2 | Q Isn't that reflecting you cc'ed this document to your Gmail
- 3 | account?
- 4 A That's correct.
- 5 Q Did you search that Gmail account for any emails with that
- 6 attorney, Ms. Laurie -- I forgot her last name.
- 7 A Burke-Weiss.
- 8 Q Have you checked for any emails between yourself, maybe
- 9 Mr. Jaffrey, regarding Exhibit 1?
- 10 | A Yes.
- 11 | Q Where did you conduct that search?
- 12 MR. BROWN: Objection, your Honor.
- 13 BY MS. DENENBERG:
- 14 | Q How did you conduct that search?
- 15 A I did a search through Gmail using key words.
- 16 Q What key words?
- 17 A I don't recall at this time. I'm sure at that time they
- 18 were words including the attorney's full name and her email
- 19 account.
- 20 | Q Did you ask -- what was her last name?
- 21 A Burke-Weiss.
- 22 | Q Burke-Weiss. Did you ask Ms. Burke-Weiss to provide you
- 23 | with the communications between yourself and her in preparing
- 24 | this 2010 document?
- 25 A I don't recall.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- You know that attorneys are required to maintain files for seven years?
- Yes, ma'am. Α
- You started this litigation in 2013 and now it is 2014, yet you haven't asked her for any documents to verify the negotiations and the drafting of Exhibit 1?

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I have to object. It is a little out of the ordinary, and I recognize that current counsel wasn't involved in the litigation throughout, but her predecessors actually subpoenaed Ms. Burke-Weiss, who opposed the subpoena and didn't produce documents. I think this entire line of questioning is misleading and unfair.

That is one issue, and that is certainly THE COURT: an important issue. I guess just to state the obvious, to the extent that Ms. Burke-Weiss was providing legal services to Mr. Walpert, it would seem to me that their communications would be privileged and, therefore, not subject to discovery.

Do you have a different view of it, Ms. Denenberg? MS. DENENBERG: It wouldn't be subject to discovery for me, but it is a privilege that Mr. Walpert can waive, allowing Ms. Burke-Weiss, even under a confidential seal, to provide otherwise critical documents to verify the authenticity of Exhibit 1.

THE COURT: It is true that as to the attorney-client privilege, it is the client's right to waive it; as to work

2 3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

product, that's not true. But regardless, it would be uncommon, in my experience, for someone to waive the attorney-client privilege under these circumstances. I'm not sure why that would happen exactly.

MS. DENENBERG: Mr. Walpert is availing himself of this Court and seeking a substantial sum of money from my clients. So I would think a document that has been called into question, he would want to provide authentication than his own word.

THE COURT: Regardless of what you might think, it is pretty uncommon for people to waive the attorney-client privilege regardless of how much money is at stake, I can tell you that. I don't accept the premise for your argument. But regardless, he hasn't waived the privilege, and so it seems to me not to be a fruitful area of inquiry.

MS. DENENBERG: Okay. I just would want to point out that we are in a potential default situation before your Honor, and this is what I have considered critical evidence that can help prove his case, prove the defense case, that is solely within his possession; and it could be ordered again under seal, subject to redaction, so that we can all see what communications there were or were not.

THE COURT: Well, if you're asking me to direct the plaintiff to waive the attorney-client privilege, I don't have the authority to do that. As I said, in my experience, it

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

24

25

would be uncommon for a client to waive the attorney-client It is not at all uncommon for the attorney-client privilege. privilege to be involved with respect to document productions; and as I said, in my experience, it is very uncommon for parties to waive that privilege, as well as the work product privilege. You've made your request.

I take it you don't have any thoughts about waiving the attorney-client privilege, Mr. Walpert?

THE WITNESS: Not at all.

THE COURT: He's not going to waive the privilege. I said, I don't know where this goes from there.

MS. DENENBERG: Well, I would just like the Court to take into consideration that these are documents that are solely within his possession that could be quite defining in this case.

THE COURT: Okay. Please proceed.

BY MS. DENENBERG:

- Returning to Exhibit C --
- Yes, ma'am. 19 Α
- 20 -- is that another email from you to Mr. Jaffrey?
- 21 Α Yes.
- 22 Is that another email regarding your proposed compensation 23 package?
 - This is an email with regard to a proposed compensation package, but this occurred a year later and has to do with a

4

5

6

7

8

9

- somewhat different investment entity.
- What investment entity does this one have to do with? 2 0
- This has to do with Collingwood Investments. 3 Α
 - If this one is different, a different investment, why is it identical, including the March 1st date --
 - THE COURT: I will sustain the objection. There is no foundation. You got to lay a foundation.
 - MS. DENENBERG: Also, I would like to move Exhibit C into evidence. I don't believe I did that yet.
 - MR. BROWN: No objection, your Honor.
- 11 THE COURT: Defense Exhibit C is received.
- 12 (Defendants' Exhibit C received in evidence)
- 13 BY MS. DENENBERG:
- 14 Looking at Exhibit C and the attachment dated March 1st,
- 2012, how is it different from Exhibit B, also dated March 1st, 15
- 16 2012?
- 17 You're now talking about the attachment to Exhibit B and
- the attachment to Exhibit C? 18
- 19 The attachment.
- Without reading them word-for-word, I assume they are the 20 21 same.
- 22 So if this is a different investment, why is it exactly the 23 same as Exhibit B?
- 24 I believe it is the same because in the body of the email to which it is attached, it says that it should be the basis 25

- for our discussions now, let's discuss as soon as possible. 1
- 2 If it is a different entity, why doesn't it say Collingwood on it? 3
- I'm sorry. Say it again, please? 4 Α
- 5 If this Exhibit C is for a different entity, different
- 6 investment entity, why doesn't it reference that different
- 7 investment entity?
- 8 I presume because Mr. Jaffrey and I knew what we were talking about. 9
- 10 How do you know that from this January 15, 2013 email?
- How do I know what? 11
- 12 How do you know that you and Mr. Jaffrey are just going to 13 mysteriously know what you're talking about?
- 14 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 15 How are you and Mr. Jaffrey going to know what you're talking about from this email? 16
- 17 From our prior discussions.
- Were these discussions in any emails? 18
- I can't answer that. I don't know. 19
- 20 Did you look for any emails that would have precipitated
- 21 you sending this January 15th email?
- 22 I provided all emails that were requested by defendants'
- 23 request for documents to my lawyers. So I have nothing in
- 24 addition to any of those emails. They responded by producing
- 25 whatever they produced in response to the interrogatories and

- the requests for documents.
- 2 Well, continuing to refer to Exhibit C, when you look down
- on the email, it reflects that you are actually forwarding the 3
- 4 prior February 28th email that we marked as Exhibit B.
- 5 I see that.
- So where is the email from Syed Jaffrey seeking that you 6
- 7 send this to him, or are you just doing it on your own?
- Objection, your Honor. 8 MR. BROWN:
- 9 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 10 BY MS. DENENBERG:
- 11 Where is the email that caused you to send this --
- THE COURT: There is no foundation. We don't know 12
- 13 that there was an email. There is just no foundation for the
- 14 question. The objection is sustained.
- 15 BY MS. DENENBERG:
- This references, in your email, you had texted me that you 16
- 17 were going to call yesterday.
- I see that. 18 Α
- What have you done to produce those texts? 19
- 20 Those texts are entirely gone because of a phone change. Α
- 21 Did you change phone numbers? Q
- 22 Α No.
- 23 Did you contact your phone carrier to provide you with the
- 24 text messages?
- 25 MR. BROWN: Objection, your Honor.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

THE COURT: Grounds?

MR. BROWN: In addition to being way beyond the scope of the direct, this type of questions about discovery requests and practices is inappropriate in this context or for a client such as Mr. Walpert, in the first instance. I don't even know if text messages were requested in the document demands.

THE COURT: What about that, Ms. Denenberg? If the texts were never requested, this is totally irrelevant.

MS. DENENBERG: All communications between parties have been requested.

THE COURT: All right. Do you agree with that, Mr. Brown?

> I can't disagree with it, your Honor. MR. BROWN:

THE COURT: All right. So I am going to overrule the objection.

You can answer.

Is there a pending question?

MS. DENENBERG: I think I asked if you changed phone numbers.

THE COURT: I think he answered that.

BY MS. DENENBERG:

- Did you request the text messages from your cell phone provider?
- 24 No, I did not. Α
- 25 Have you changed cell phone providers in the last year and Q

EC24WALH Cross - Walpert

- 1 a half?
- 2 | A No.
- 3 Q Who is your cell phone provider?
- 4 A Verizon Wireless.
- 5 | Q Referring back to Exhibit C, does this document further
- 6 reflect that you cc'ed your own Gmail account?
- 7 | A I did.
- 8 | Q So at least on occasion you cc'ed your own Gmail account?
- 9 A That's correct.
- 10 | Q When would you decide to cc your Gmail account?
- 11 A Typically because I had easier access to it than I did my
- 12 | Wingate account.
- 13 | Q Why was that?
- 14 A Just the nature of getting into Wingate versus getting into
- 15 Gmail.
- 16 Q Can you be more specific? What was so much easier about
- 17 | Gmail?
- 18 A It was simply, for me at least, a much easier Gmail
- 19 environment to work in.
- 20 | Q Referring back to Exhibit 1, did you ever email this
- 21 document to your Gmail account?
- 22 | A I have no recollection one way or the other.
- 23 | Q Where did you obtain this document in order to provide it
- 24 | to your attorneys?
- 25 A I obtained it from my wife.

- 1 | Q How did your wife obtain it?
- 2 A How did she what?
- 3 | Q How did your wife obtain it?
- 4 A Obtain it? She obtained it from me when she asked for it
- 5 some time earlier.

- Q What made you give it to your wife?
- 7 A As I said, she asked for it.
- 8 Q Does she ask for all your contracts?
- 9 MR. BROWN: Objection, your Honor.
- 10 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 11 BY MS. DENENBERG:
- 12 | Q Do you recall why she asked for a copy of this?
- MR. BROWN: Objection, your Honor.
- 14 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 15 BY MS. DENENBERG:
- Q When she provided it to you, did she provide it to you in
- 17 | electronic form or paper form?
- 18 A Paper form.
- 19 Q Have you looked for any electronic forms of this document?
- 20 | A Not specifically, I don't think, no.
- 21 Q Did you ask Ms. Burke-Weiss for an electronic form of this
- 22 | document?
- MR. BROWN: Objection, your Honor. It is protected by
- 24 | the attorney-client privilege.
- 25 THE COURT: Yes, we have been over this. Given that

- 1 his communications with Ms. Burke-Weiss are privileged, I'm not
- 2 going to draw any inference of any sort with respect to
- 3 production or requests for production from Ms. Burke-Weiss.
- 4 | Their communications are privileged, subject to waiver if the
- 5 | client wishes to waive attorney-client privilege. Absent
- 6 waiver, there is no grounds to demand production of such
- 7 documents.
- 8 BY MS. DENENBERG:
- 9 Q Now, back to what we marked as Exhibit 1, your employment
- 10 | agreement that Ms. Burke-Weiss drafted for you. Who
- 11 | represented Wingate Capital in drafting this agreement?
- 12 A Wingate Capital was not involved in drafting this
- 13 | agreement.
- 14 | Q So just you or Ms. Burke-Weiss drafted the agreement?
- 15 | A The agreement was drafted by Ms. Burke-Weiss.
- 16 | Q On your behalf; correct?
- 17 | A On my behalf, correct.
- 18 | Q Who represented Wingate Capital, Inc., in negotiating and
- 19 preparing this employment agreement?
- 20 | A As I said before, Wingate was not involved in preparing
- 21 | this agreement.
- 22 | Q Okay. It says that Wingate is a party to the agreement.
- 23 Are you saying that they had no legal representation in
- 24 connection with this document marked as Exhibit 1?
- 25 A No, because this is a document I gave to Mr. Jaffrey and

- which he eventually signed.
- 2 So this is your document creating yourself as the general
- counsel for a company, and there is no other attorney 3
- 4 representing the company; is that what you're saying?
- 5 There were other attorneys representing the company.
- 6 What other attorneys represented the company in connection
- 7 with the employment agreement that is marked as Exhibit 1?
- I don't know what Mr. Jaffrey did with the agreement, 8
- 9 whether he showed it to one lawyer or ten lawyers.
- 10 Did you recommend that he show it to an attorney?
- I didn't recommend that he did or he should or should not 11
- 12 show it to an attorney.
- 13 May I turn your attention to page 9 of Exhibit 1, marked
- 14 Plaintiff's Bates stamp 14.
- 15 Α Yes, ma'am.
- Where were you when you signed this document? 16
- 17 In his office. Α
- Whose office? 18 0
- 19 I'm sorry. Mr. Jaffrey's office. Α
- 20 Office where? 0
- 21 At 601 Lexington Avenue, 59th floor. Α
- 22 And where was Mr. Jaffrey? 0
- 23 Across the desk from me. Α
- 24 How come the signature block by Mr. Jaffrey's name has the
- 25 word "by" but the signature block next to your name does not

- 1 have the word "by"?
- 2 A I assume that he was signing on behalf of Wingate Capital,
- 3 | Inc., and I was signing on behalf of me.
- 4 Q So that's why Ms. Burke-Weiss left out the word "by"?
- 5 MR. BROWN: Objection.
- 6 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 7 BY MS. DENENBERG:
- 8 Q Also, if you notice, by Mr. Jaffrey's name, there is a
- 9 signature line.
- 10 A I see it.
- 11 | Q By your name, there is a different signature line. These
- 12 | lines are not congruous.
- 13 | A Okay.
- 14 | Q Do you know why that is?
- 15 A No.
- 16 Q Could it be that Mr. Jaffrey's signature was added
- 17 | afterwards?
- 18 A No.
- 19 Q So a \$900,000 document has a sloppy signature line like
- 20 | that?
- 21 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 22 BY MS. DENENBERG:
- 23 Q Now, I believe you said you never received any compensation
- 24 | pursuant to Exhibit 1.
- 25 A I don't think I said that, no.

- 1 | Q I'm sorry. What compensation did you receive pursuant to
- 2 | Exhibit 1?
- 3 A \$50,000. At least I believe it to be pursuant to that
- 4 contract.
- 5 Q \$50,000 from Wingate pursuant to Exhibit 1?
- 6 A I believe that's true, yes.
- 7 | Q Do you have a copy of that check?
- 8 A I do not.
- 9 Q And I believe you said yesterday that there were some
- 10 | further communications regarding the \$50,000?
- 11 A There were.
- 12 | Q And I think you said that the \$50,000 -- it was something
- about requesting your tax identification number?
- 14 A My Social Security number, yes.
- 15 | Q Did you ever provide that?
- 16 | A No.
- 17 | Q How come you never provided that?
- 18 A On the advice of counsel.
- 19 | Q On the advice of counsel?
- 20 A Yes, ma'am.
- 21 | Q In connection with this litigation or some other counsel?
- 22 | A No. In connection with this litigation.
- 23 THE COURT: I'm confused. When was the request made
- 24 | for your Social Security number?
- 25 THE WITNESS: Probably in early 2013.

THE COURT: Had this litigation already commenced? 1 2 THE WITNESS: No. 3 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, while I wasn't counsel at the 4 time, it was shortly before the litigation was commenced. 5 THE COURT: All right. 6 I believe it was begun in June of 2013. MR. BROWN: 7 THE COURT: Thank you. MS. DENENBERG: May I mark an exhibit, please? 8 9 THE COURT: Yes. 10 MS. DENENBERG: May I approach? THE COURT: Yes. 11 12 What is the exhibit number? 13 MS. DENENBERG: D. 14 THE COURT: Defense Exhibit D. All right. Go right 15 ahead. BY MS. DENENBERG: 16 17 Do you recognize that, Exhibit D? 18 Α Excuse me? 19 Do you recognize this email? 20 I presume I have seen it, but I don't recognize it in this Α 21 form. 22 Is gary@byrnepoh.com one of your email addresses? 23 Α It is. 24 Did you search that email address for any communications 25 between Mr. Syed Jaffrey, Mr. Kamal Jaffrey, Mr. Ali Jaffrey?

```
1 A I would have, yes.
```

- 2 Q Does this reflect that Mr. Ali Jaffrey is seeking your
- 3 | Social Security number?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 MS. DENENBERG: I would like to move this into
- 6 evidence.
- 7 MR. BROWN: No objection.
- 8 THE COURT: Defense Exhibit D is received.
- 9 (Defendants' Exhibit D received in evidence)
- 10 BY MS. DENENBERG:
- 11 | Q This is dated April 26, 2013; correct?
- 12 A That's correct.
- 13 | Q It is coming from Mr. Ali Jaffrey at deltasearchlabs.com?
- 14 A That's correct.
- 15 Q Do you know why it was coming from Mr. Ali Jaffrey at Delta
- 16 | Search Labs?
- 17 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 18 MS. DENENBERG: I would like to mark another exhibit.
- 19 THE COURT: Yes.
- 20 MS. DENENBERG: Let us know when you're done reading.
- 21 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 22 BY MS. DENENBERG:
- 23 || Q May I turn your attention to the third page of Exhibit E,
- 24 which has the Bates stamp at the bottom, D-019.
- 25 A I see that.

4

5

Cross - Walpert

- Is that a copy of the \$50,000 check that you've been 1 2 referencing?
 - Objection, your Honor. Lack of MR. BROWN: foundation.
 - THE COURT: May I see the document?
- 6 Thanks.
- 7 Can you rephrase the question, Ms. Denenberg.
- Rephrase the question. 8
- BY MS. DENENBERG: 9
- 10 Do you recognize this as a \$50,000 check made payable to 11 you?
- 12 Α Yes, I do.
- 13 This check comes from Delta Search Labs?
- 14 This check has a logo of Delta Search Labs on it, yes. Α
- Is this the \$50,000 check that Mr. Ali Jaffrey was 15
- referencing in his email seeking your Social Security number? 16
- 17 I'm looking for a reference to \$50,000 in Mr. Ali Jaffrey's
- email, but I don't see it. 18
- Did you receive more than one \$50,000 check from any of the 19
- 20 defendants, or did you only receive one \$50,000 check?
- 21 Actually, I never received a \$50,000 check, if you're
- 22 asking if that is something I could hold in my hand.
- 23 Yesterday you told us you received \$50,000 payable under
- 24 Exhibit 1.
- 25 Yes. Α

4

5

9

10

11

Cross - Walpert

- Here's a \$50,000 check. Have you received more than one 1 2 \$50,000 check from any of the defendants?
 - THE COURT: Sustained. You have to lay a foundation for these questions.
 - BY MS. DENENBERG:
- How many \$50,000 checks did you receive? 6
- 7 THE COURT: Sustained.
- BY MS. DENENBERG: 8
 - The \$50,000 check that you referenced yesterday for which you were questioned about your Social Security number, is this the check?
- 12 THE COURT: Did you receive a \$50,000 check?
- 13 THE WITNESS: No, I did not.
- 14 THE COURT: All right.
- BY MS. DENENBERG: 15
- So you're saying you never received a \$50,000 check? 16
- 17 I never received a check for \$50,000 that one could hold in one's hand. 18
- 19 Okay. Referring to page 3 here, it says at --
- 20 THE COURT: Wait a second. Are you offering Defense 21 Exhibit E?
- 22 MS. DENENBERG: I would like to move Exhibit E into 23 evidence.
- 24 THE COURT: Any objection?
- 25 There is no foundation, but there is no MR. BROWN:

objection.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

THE COURT: All right. I will receive it because there is no objection. Defense Exhibit E is received.

(Defendants' Exhibit E received in evidence)

BY MS. DENENBERG:

- Continuing to refer to page 3 of Exhibit E, which is Bates stamped D-019, there is handwriting on the back of the check made out to you that says, "For deposit only" with initials.
- Yes. Α
- Do you recognize that handwriting?
- 11 Α No.
- 12 So back to your testimony from yesterday, did you get a 13 \$50,000 check or not?
- 14 THE COURT: He testified about two minutes ago that he 15 never received a \$50,000 check.
- 16 MS. DENENBERG: Oh, okay.
- 17 THE COURT: You didn't hear that testimony? I will 18 ask him again.
- 19 Did you ever receive a \$50,000 check?
- 20 THE WITNESS: No.
- 21 BY MS. DENENBERG:
- 22 So the testimony yesterday was not correct?
- 23 It was correct, but I don't believe I ever referenced a 24 check yesterday.
- 25 How did you get the \$50,000?

Α

1

5

7

8

- Cross Walpert
- 2 Where did that deposit come from? 0
- At the time, I presumed it came from Mr. Jaffrey at 3

There was a \$50,000 deposit to my account.

- 4 Wingate. I still believe that.
 - Okay. Why wasn't this provided in the course of discovery?
- 6 THE COURT: Why wasn't what provided?
 - MS. DENENBERG: A copy of the bank account. He is making claims about 50,000.
- 9 THE COURT: Did you request bank account information?
- 10 MS. DENENBERG: We requested all communications.
- 11 THE COURT: This isn't a communication. Listen to my
- 12 question and try to answer it. Did you or predecessor counsel
- 13 request bank account records from the plaintiff?
- 14 MS. DENENBERG: I did not.
- 15 THE COURT: How about predecessor counsel?
- MS. DENENBERG: I do not know, but I will accept that 16
- 17 they probably did not.
- 18 THE COURT: All right. If there was never any request
- for bank records, why would he have produced them? 19
- 20 BY MS. DENENBERG:
- 21 All right. So I will ask like this: How did you provide
- 22 your bank account information to any of the defendants to get
- \$50,000? 23
- 24 I provided my bank account information to Mr. Jaffrey,
- 25 that's Mr. Syed Jaffrey, for purposes of making a deposit. I

4

- don't recall if it was this deposit, but for purposes of making a deposit.
 - Q How did you provide that information?
 - A Orally or by text message.
 - Q The text messages that you did not request?
- 6 MR. BROWN: Objection, your Honor.
- 7 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 8 BY MS. DENENBERG:
- 9 Q Do you have any proof that this \$50,000 was wired to you --
- 10 | THE COURT: Sustained. There is no foundation.
- 11 BY MS. DENENBERG:
- 12 | Q -- was transferred?
- THE COURT: There is no evidence of that, either. So the objection is sustained.
- 15 BY MS. DENENBERG:
- 16 Q Continuing with Exhibit E, if Mr.-- strike that.
- Continuing back to Exhibit D, which asks for your

 Social Security number, was there any other requests that you
- can recall asking for your Social Security number in connection
- 20 with a separate \$50,000 check or transfer?
- 21 | A There were other requests for my Social Security number.
- 22 | Q How come those weren't provided?
- 23 THE COURT: No foundation.
- MS. DENENBERG: All communications were requested, and
- 25 there are no other communications.

23

24

25

THE COURT: What if it was verbal. You don't lay a 1 2 foundation. That has been a repeated problem here. You have 3 to lay a foundation for your questions. If there is no 4 foundation, I have to sustain objections. You can't assume 5 that it was in writing. You have to ask a question, and we get 6 an answer. That's called foundation. That's what you have to 7 do. Right? 8 MS. DENENBERG: Thank you. 9 THE COURT: Will you do that in the future? 10 MS. DENENBERG: I will do that. 11 THE COURT: Thank you. 12 BY MS. DENENBERG: 13 How were these other requests for your Social Security 14 number made to you? 15 As I recall, either orally or by text message. 16 Is it fair to say that there were no other emails than the 17 one we marked as Exhibit D? 18 I don't recall any. Α 19 Do you know who Ashley Morris is? 20 Α Yes. 21 THE COURT: I'm sorry?

MS. DENENBERG: Ashley Morris.

THE COURT: M-O-R-R-I-S?

MS. DENENBERG: Yes.

THE COURT: All right.

4

8

9

1 THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.

- 2 BY MS. DENENBERG:
- 3 Q Who is Ms. Morris?
 - A She is a paralegal at Byrne Poh.
- Q These emails that have been marked as Exhibit E, do you recall reviewing these?
- 7 THE COURT: When?
 - MS. DENENBERG: I was going to first ask if he recalled reviewing them.
- 10 Strike that.
- 11 BY MS. DENENBERG:
- 12 Q I note that there is an email gary@byrnepoh.com on this
 13 email chain.
- 14 A Could you direct my attention to it?
- 15 Ah. Are you thinking of the one on page 2?
- 16 Q If you will refer to page 22, that is where Ms. Morris'
- 17 name first comes up on a December 17, 2013 email, with a cc to
- 18 gary@byrnepoh.
- 19 A I see that.
- 20 | THE COURT: What's the question?
- 21 BY MS. DENENBERG:
- 22 Q This email is referencing an outstanding payment. Do you
- 23 recall reviewing these emails?
- 24 A Do you now mean all emails in this exhibit or that one
- 25 particular email?

- For expediency, let's take all emails. Q
- I don't recall seeing all these emails, no. Α
- Do you recall seeing the December 17th email from Ashley? 3
- I believe I did. 4 Α
- 5 Did you take any action in connection with this email from
- 6 Ashlev?

- 7 Not that I recall. Α
- Who is Philip Poh? Is that the Poh of Byrne Poh? 8
- It is. 9 Α
- 10 Do you know what happened to this \$50,000 check that's
- D-019?11
- 12 MR. BROWN: Objection, your Honor. I think we have
- 13 been over the fact that Mr. Walpert never received the check.
- 14 I don't know how he could possibly know what happened to it.
- 15 MS. DENENBERG: I'm just asking if he knows, because
- it has a bank statement stamp that it has been cashed. I'm 16
- 17 just asking if he knows what happened to it.
- 18 THE COURT: Well, have you ever seen this check
- before? 19
- 20 THE WITNESS: Not in the original, no. Only copies
- 21 such as this one.
- 22 THE COURT: Was that through discovery in this case?
- 23 THE WITNESS: It was originally through discovery in
- 24 this case, yes.
- 25 THE COURT: So prior to discovery in this case, you

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

never saw this check before?

THE WITNESS: I don't think so, no.

THE COURT: If he never saw the document before, then there is no point in asking him where it was deposited, how it came to be deposited. His position is that he never saw the check.

Let me ask you this, Mr. Walpert: Understanding you never saw the check, do you know whether this check was deposited in your account?

THE WITNESS: I presume it was. There was a \$50,000 deposit in my account.

THE COURT: Okay. So just to be clear, what you're saying is that the check was endorsed by somebody else because you have testified those aren't your initials on the back of the check?

THE WITNESS: That's correct.

THE COURT: The check was endorsed by somebody else and then somehow deposited in your account?

THE WITNESS: That's correct.

THE COURT: All right.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: I take it at some point you noticed that there was \$50,000 more in your bank account; right?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Did you have any conversations with

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Mr. Jaffrey or anyone associated with these companies about

that \$50,000 payment?

THE WITNESS: Yes, both before and after.

THE COURT: Right.

THE WITNESS: Before that it was coming; and after, thanks.

THE COURT: And did you speak with Mr. Syed Jaffrey about that or someone else?

THE WITNESS: No, just Syed Jaffrey.

THE COURT: All right. What did he say to you when you said thanks for the check or thanks for the money?

THE WITNESS: I don't recall now.

THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.

BY MS. DENENBERG:

- There has been a lot of discussion about Mr. Syed Jaffrey's immigration status. Were you aware of Mr. Syed Jaffrey's immigration status prior to 2014?
- I was aware that he was here on a visa, as were a number of his family, and I put him in touch with an immigration lawyer at the firm that I was at.
- Which firm was that?
- That was Wilmer Hale.
- 23 Did you have your law firm Byrne Poh involved, your current 24 law firm, Byrne Poh, involved in Mr. Syed Jaffrey's immigration 25 issues?

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

I Cross - Walpert

- A Involved, no. But I think, by mistake, K&L Gates
 transferred a bunch of immigration files to Byrne Poh at a time
 when I was leaving K&L.
 - Q Do you know what Byrne Poh did with those files?
- A I believe I returned them either to K&L Gates or to the lawyer who I had introduced Mr. Jaffrey to at Wilmer Hale.
 - Q Didn't, in fact, Byrne Poh actually open those files and work on them?
 - A I'm sure they must have opened them to see what they were, but to my knowledge, there is no one at Byrne Poh is competent to work on immigration matters.
 - Q But nonetheless, you were aware of Mr. Jaffrey's immigration status?
 - A To the extent that he was on a visa, yes.
 - MS. DENENBERG: I would like to mark another exhibit, please.
- 17 THE COURT: Yes.
- MS. DENENBERG: Is this F and G?
- 19 THE DEPUTY CLERK: F and G.
- 20 | THE COURT: All right. Do we have a question?
- 21 MS. DENENBERG: Are you finished reviewing those, sir?
- 22 MR. BROWN: Is there a question pending, your Honor?
- THE COURT: No. We're waiting for Mr. Walpert to
- 24 | finish his review of the documents.
- 25 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

THE COURT: Go ahead. 1 2 BY MS. DENENBERG: 3 Referring to Exhibit F, is that what you were just 4 referencing, the receipt of two immigration files from K&L Gates? 5 6 I don't recall how many there were, but these are the 7 immigration files I think I was referencing, yes. That email is dated July 24, 2010. 8 9 That's right. Α 10 And then referring to Exhibit G, the bottom half, it is 11 again July 24, 2010. Exhibit F is at 1:53 p.m., and Exhibit G 12 is at 3:10 p.m. 13 Excuse me? Α 14 Towards the bottom of the email. Okay. 15 Α 16 It is from Matt Byrne. Is that the same Byrne of 17 Byrne Poh? Yes, it is. 18 Α 19 He is emailing you, Gary --20 THE COURT: These are not in evidence. MS. DENENBERG: I'm sorry. Can I offer these into 21 22 evidence? 23 MR. BROWN: No objection. 24 THE COURT: Defendants' Exhibits F and G are received.

(Defendants' Exhibits F and G received in evidence)

1

10

Α

- BY MS. DENENBERG:
- 2 May I draw your attention towards the July 24, 2010,
- 3 3:10 p.m. ...
- 4 THE COURT: All right. What is the question?
- BY MS. DENENBERG: 5
- 6 Do you see Exhibit G, sir?
- 7 Yes, I do. Α
- 8 Matt Byrne notes: The immigration matters can be set up as 9 general matters.
- 11 So Byrne Poh retained these files?

I see that sentence.

- 12 Not to my knowledge, no.
- 13 Why is Mr. Byrne -- do you know why Mr. Byrne is opening
- 14 these files as general matters?
- 15 You have to ask Mr. Byrne. I don't know why he did that.
- I don't think I had seen these files at this point in time. 16
- Do you recall giving Mr. Byrne any other instructions than 17
- 18 opening these files as general matters?
- I don't recall giving him any instructions at all. 19
- 20 You also did not give him instruction to send the files to
- 21 K&L Gates?
- 22 Α I don't recall.
- 23 Continuing referencing Exhibit G, Mr. Byrne, the first part
- 24 of his July 24th email says: Normally we have a rule that no
- files leave the office so we don't lose them. 25

1 What is he talking about there?

> Objection, your Honor. MR. BROWN:

THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MS. DENENBERG:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

24

Do you know what he is talking about there?

THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MS. DENENBERG:

Where did you routinely maintain your files?

THE COURT: Are you talking about him or the firm?

BY MS. DENENBERG:

Where did you in 2010 routinely maintain your files?

THE COURT: You're talking about the plaintiff?

MS. DENENBERG: Yes.

BY MS. DENENBERG:

Mr. Walpert, where did you in 2010 maintain your files?

If they were files that I was working on in connection with

17 work at Byrne Poh, at either Byrne Poh or my home. If they

were files that I was working on in connection with Wingate or

any of its activities or Mr. Jaffrey's activities, they would

have been in the Wingate offices on Lexington Avenue.

Did you check your home office for any files pertaining to

any of the defendants?

23 Α Yes.

> Did you find any? 0

25 I believe I did, yes. Α

```
MS. DENENBERG: I would like to mark another document
1
 2
      as an exhibit. May I approach?
 3
               THE COURT: Yes.
 4
               All right. Next question.
      BY MS. DENENBERG:
5
6
          Are you ready, sir?
 7
      Α
          Yes.
          Document BP35, is this --
8
9
               THE COURT: This is Defense Exhibit H.
10
               MS. DENENBERG: I'm sorry.
11
      BY MS. DENENBERG:
12
          Defendants' Exhibit H, do you recognize this as an email
13
      from yourself to Matthew Byrne?
14
          I do, at least the first half of it.
      Α
15
          Can you read for us what you wrote to Mr. Byrne?
16
               THE COURT: It is not in evidence yet.
17
               MS. DENENBERG: I'm sorry. Can I move this into
18
      evidence.
19
               MR. BROWN:
                          No objection.
20
               THE COURT: Defense Exhibit H is received.
               (Defendants' Exhibit H received in evidence)
21
22
     BY MS. DENENBERG:
          Can you read what you wrote to Mr. Byrne on July 2nd, 2012.
23
24
     Α
          Sure.
25
               Hope you are enjoying the vacation. It is very hot
```

- and humid here. As to Wingate, one small step forward, but I
- 2 am planning to do almost nothing for them and concentrate on
- 3 | the patent practice until a major breakthrough, i.e, money
- 4 | shows up. Still not too likely. I will see you when you get
- 5 | back. Best, Gary.
- 6 Q What made you write this to Mr. Byrne?
- 7 A I have no recollection.
- 8 Q Do you know why he was asking you about Wingate, which is
- 9 | your separate entity?
- 10 A I can only guess.
- 11 THE COURT: We're not here to guess.
- 12 BY MS. DENENBERG:
- 13 | Q Did Mr. Byrne have any involvement with Wingate?
- 14 THE COURT: I'm sorry?
- 15 BY MS. DENENBERG:
- 16 | Q Did Mr. Byrne or Byrne Poh have any involvement with
- 17 | Wingate?
- 18 A Not that I recall.
- 19 THE COURT: Next question.
- 20 MS. DENENBERG: I'm sorry. I'm just thinking.
- 21 BY MS. DENENBERG:
- 22 | Q Who were the shareholders of Wingate?
- 23 A The partners in Wingate are Mr. Byrne and Mr. Poh.
- 24 | Q No, I asked about Wingate.
- 25 A I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Excuse me. The only shareholder I

- 1 know is Mr. Syed Jaffrey. There may be others but not that I'm 2 aware of.
- 3 | Q Who were the other shareholders in 2010 when you became
- 4 general counsel, when you allegedly became general counsel?
- 5 | A Again, Mr. Jaffrey.
- 6 Q One of the defendants we have been calling USDFM.
- 7 A Yes, ma'am.

- Q Who were the shareholders of USDFM?
- 9 A USDFM was an LLC, so the members of the LLC were
- 10 Mr. Jaffrey, Mr. de Boissezon, Mr. Schneider, Mr. Cross,
- 11 Mr. Gonzales, and me.
- 12 | THE COURT: Who was the managing partner?
- 13 | THE WITNESS: Mr. Jaffrey was the managing partner.
- 14 BY MS. DENENBERG:
- 15 \parallel Q So you are suing an entity that you are a member of?
- 16 A That's correct.
- 17 | Q Who is general counsel of USDFM?
- 18 A I was or would have been.
- 19 | Q Or what?
- 20 A I was or would have been. USDFM.
- 21 | Q You're also suing a party that you're not only a member of
- 22 | but you're also the general counsel of?
- 23 A Correct.
- 24 | Q As the general counsel, weren't you privy to confidential
- 25 | information?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As a member or general counsel, I was privy to confidential

- information.
- So you're suing your own client?

MR. BROWN: Objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: Grounds?

Argumentative, your Honor. MR. BROWN:

THE COURT: I'm not sure what the point is,

Ms. Denenberg.

MS. DENENBERG: Well, I would request leave to brief the issue, but it seems to me that he's privileged to attorney-client information, he was a member and the general counsel; and now he is violating potentially ethical obligations and canons.

THE COURT: You appear to believe that there is some prohibition against lawyers suing their clients. I have to tell you it is quite common for lawyers to sue their clients. It happens every day. You're welcome to brief any and all issues. But if your point is that there is something unethical about lawyers suing their clients, you're wrong. To the extent you think it is unusual, you're wrong on that front, too. Lawyers actually commonly sue their clients when they don't pay, which is exactly the situation that is alleged to have occurred here.

MS. DENENBERG: I'm referring, also, to the issue that he was a member of the defendant. I understand lawyers sue

- their clients all the time and vice versa, clients sue lawyers. 1
- 2 THE COURT: Were you a limited partner?
- THE WITNESS: A minority membership. 3
- 4 THE COURT: Minority partner. It is not at all
- 5 unusual for minority partners or limited partners to sue the
- 6 general partner or managing partner. That is also quite
- 7 common, also.
- BY MS. DENENBERG: 8
- 9 Was there a written -- for lack of a better term --
- 10 partnership agreement -- and correct me if I'm using the term
- 11 wrong -- memorializing the members of USDFM?
- 12 Α There was an LLC agreement for the members of USDFM, yes.
- 13 0 Did you prepare that document?
- 14 No, I did not. Α
- 15 0 Who prepared that document?
- 16 The lawyers at Kaye Scholer. Α
- 17 Do you have a signed copy of that agreement?
- I believe I do or did. 18 Α
- 19 Did you provide that to your counsel?
- 20 If I had it, it would have been provided to counsel, yes. Α
- 21 Do you know if there were multiple drafts of that
- 22 agreement?
- 23 There were multiple drafts of that agreement, yes.
- 24 0 They were all done by Kaye Scholer?
- 25 That's correct. Α

- 1 Q Was that done at your direction?
- 2 I supervised it, I quess you might say. I was the contact Α person for Kaye Scholer. 3
 - How was most of the communications with Kaye Scholer conducted?
 - That was done by email, typically, or by phone.
 - Did you search for any emails with Kaye Scholer?
- Those emails would have been in my Wingate account or on my 8 Wingate computer, neither of which I have access to. 9
 - Was there a time that you did have access to the Wingate emails?
- 12 Α Yes.

5

6

7

10

11

22

- 13 Let me clarify. Did you have access to the Wingate email 0 14 in cooperating with your attorneys to provide discovery?
- 15 Α No.
- MS. DENENBERG: May I mark some exhibits, please? 16 17 THE COURT: Yes.
- BY MS. DENENBERG: 18
- 19 Do these emails that have been marked as I, J, and K 20 reflect some of the revisions that were done to form the USDFM, 21 LLC?
 - They reflect revisions that were made in the process of forming an understanding of what USDFM, LLC would be like, yes.
- 24 When was USDFM formed or finalized, created?
- 25 I don't recall the exact date. Α

- Cross Walpert
- Was it on or around June 2010? 1
- 2 I just don't recall how close to the date of these Α
- documents and these emails the LLC was actually finished and 3
- created. 4
- 5 At this point in time, were you consulting with Kaye
- Scholer? 6
- 7 I'm sure I was, but I have no independent recollection of
- that at this moment. 8
- Can you tell us why Kaye Scholer is not on any of these 9
- 10 emails?
- 11 Well, as best I can recollect, it would be because this was
- 12 something that Syed Jaffrey had to be comfortable with and
- 13 approve, not to mention the rest of the proposed members.
- 14 So Kaye Scholer wasn't involved at this time?
- I didn't say that. No. No. I suspect Kaye Scholer was 15
- involved at this time. Looking at the words that are used in 16
- 17 these documents, I think Kaye Scholer was involved.
- 18 Q And you were the point person for Kaye Scholer; is that
- fair? 19
- 20 That's fair. Α
- 21 And you don't have any of those email communications at
- 22 this point?
- 23 Anything I had was given to my attorneys, but most of them
- 24 would have been in my Wingate account.
- 25 Does each of the drafts indicate you having a 9.8 percent

6

7

8

9

- stake in USDFM?
- 2 That's correct. Α
- Is that how it played out in the end? 3
- It played out so that Mr. Jaffrey would have a 4 Α
- 5 majority share and controlling power, if you will, for the LLC.
 - Does this agreement provide for any salary to any of the principals?
 - THE COURT: You mean any of the partners?
 - MS. DENENBERG: I'm using the word "principal" because that's what it says. We can use "partner."
- 11 THE COURT: This is a partnership we're talking about, 12 right, or it is an LLC, I guess.
- 13 THE WITNESS: LLC.
- 14 THE COURT: All right. So, "members," I guess, is the 15 right term. Are we speaking of members?
- MS. DENENBERG: Mr. Walpert used the word "members." 16
- 17 The agreement itself uses the word "principals."
- BY MS. DENENBERG: 18
- The people listed there that you previously mentioned, does 19 20 this agreement provide for any salary?
- 21 Α I don't believe it does.
- 22 And it does provide compensation to be, I guess, any -- I 23 quess it says here in a bonus pool for later distribution.
- 24 MR. BROWN: Objection, your Honor. If Ms. Devenberg 25 would direct the witness to what she is looking at, I think it

```
1
     might be easier.
 2
               THE COURT: Yes, can you direct Mr. Walpert's
      attention to what you're talking about.
 3
 4
               MS. DENENBERG: Also, can I move these three exhibits
 5
      into evidence.
6
               MR. BROWN: No objection, your Honor.
 7
               THE COURT: Defense Exhibits I, J, and K are received.
               (Defendants' Exhibits I, J, and K received in
 8
9
      evidence)
10
               THE COURT: Can you direct Mr. Walpert's attention to
11
      any portions of the documents you're interested in.
12
               MS. DENENBERG: You know what, withdrawn.
13
     BY MS. DENENBERG:
14
          There is no salary to any of the principals?
     Q
```

- Α There is no annual salary listed here. 15
- THE COURT: What's next? 16
- 17 MS. DENENBERG: Sorry?
- THE COURT: What's next? 18
- BY MS. DENENBERG: 19
- 20 I want to refer your attention to what was marked as
- 21 Exhibits 2 and 3 yesterday.
- 22 A I have those.
- 23 Were these documents generated in connection with the USDFM
- 24 that we were just talking about, the LLC?
- 25 They were. Α

EC24WALH

1

2

3

8

Referring to Exhibit 3, I see initials on the bottom of page 1 of Exhibit 3.

- I see that. Α
- 4 Whose initials are those? 0
- 5 I believe them to be Mr. Jaffrey and Mr. de Boissezon.
- 6 Turning your attention to Exhibit 2, the one directed to 7 you, how come there are no initials on that one?
 - Because this is an outside draft.
- 9 How come you had a signed draft of Mr --0
- 10 Α De Boissezon?

own?

- 11 -- de Boissezon but you don't have a signed draft of your 12
- 13 As I testified yesterday, I kept my copy in the office, and 14 the papers that I had in the office were removed and have now 15 disappeared.
- MS. DENENBERG: I would like to mark another exhibit. 16
- 17 THE COURT: All right.
- 18 Next question.
- BY MS. DENENBERG: 19
- 20 Do you recognize that document, sir?
- 21 Α Yes, I do.
- 22 THE COURT: What is the exhibit?
- 23 MS. DENENBERG: Exhibit L.
- 24 THE COURT: What is Exhibit L?
- 25 THE WITNESS: Exhibit L is an unsigned draft of a

- 1 employment offer to Mr. Jaffrey to work at USDFM.
- 2 BY MS. DENENBERG:
- 3 Q Do you know who prepared that document?
- 4 A Yes, I do. I did.
- 5 Q You prepared that document?
- 6 A I did.
- 7 | Q Do you know if this document was ever signed?
- 8 A I believe it was, yes.
- 9 Q And you signed that document on behalf of USDFM?
- 10 A I'm not certain that I signed it or that Charles
- 11 de Boissezon signed it or both of us. I can't recall for sure.
- 12 | Q Do you know why this one is dated October 21st; whereas,
- 13 | Exhibit 2 and 3 are dated October 26th?
- 14 A They are dated, I think, because that is when they were
- 15 prepared.
- 16 MS. DENENBERG: I would like to move Exhibit L into
- 17 | evidence.
- 18 MR. BROWN: No objection, your Honor.
- 19 | THE COURT: Defense L is received.
- 20 | (Defendants' Exhibit L received in evidence)
- 21 BY MS. DENENBERG:
- 22 | Q I would like to refer back to that \$50,000 check. I forget
- 23 which exhibit it was.
- 24 THE COURT: I think it is E, Defense Exhibit E.
- MS. DENENBERG: Yes, you're right, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BY MS. DENENBERG:

I don't have an exhibit for this, but your attorneys produced Plaintiff's Exhibit 16, the exact same check that I also got pursuant to subpoena from Byrne Poh, which we marked as Exhibit E.

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I will just note for the record that Exhibit E is Bates-stamped D, which reflects defendants' production, not a Byrne Poh production. Other than that, I'm not quite sure what Ms. Denenberg is referring to. BY MS. DENENBERG:

Do you know where you got this to give it to your attorney?

THE COURT: Where he got what?

MS. DENENBERG: What your attorneys provided as Bates-stamped P16, which is the exact same check that was provided by Byrne Poh.

MR. BROWN: Objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained. No foundation.

BY MS. DENENBERG:

Your attorneys have provided Plaintiff's Exhibit 16 in a discovery response. Did you give this to your attorney?

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I don't know how the witness can possibly answer questions about a document that he can't see.

THE COURT: Can you show him the document?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. DENENBERG: It was provided by Plaintiff's counsel.

THE COURT: I understand it was provided by plaintiff's counsel. I'm asking if you can show the witness the document. Can you do that?

MS. DENENBERG: I can show the witness the document, but it has my handwriting on it.

THE COURT: All right. Do you have another version that you haven't marked up?

MS. DENENBERG: I do not. I can put a Post-it over what I wrote.

THE COURT: You said it was Defense Exhibit 16 to what?

MS. DENENBERG: That was Defendants' production, so that was Bates-stamped D16 -- no, D something else, but it was provided by plaintiff's counsel as P16.

THE COURT: I'm totally confused. I don't know what you're talking about.

MS. DENENBERG: All right.

THE COURT: I'm just trying to understand. I thought that you referred to this -- I thought I heard you say Exhibit 16. Did I mishear you?

MS. DENENBERG: It was Bates-stamped P16.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. DENENBERG: The one that I marked as Exhibit E was

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

from a defendants' disclosure.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. DENENBERG: But I have come to realize, looking through my notes, that I had missed that this had already been provided by plaintiff's counsel. So I just want to ask the witness where he got it to provide it to his counsel because he has already testified that he doesn't recall ever seeing this check.

THE COURT: Well, was the document that Ms. Denenberg is referring to, was that produced by plaintiff in discovery?

MR. BROWN: Ms. Denenberg has a copy of that document with a plaintiff's Bates stamp. Obviously, we weren't involved in the production; prior counsel was. I don't know if they received this from Mr. Walpert or Byrne Poh or someone else. I can't make any representations about its source. It is the document with P16 on it.

THE COURT: All right. So what guestion is it that you want to ask?

MS. DENENBERG: Well, the production that was provided by plaintiff's counsel indicated that they were documents that they obtained from their client. They did not indicate that it was pursuant to a subpoena. And upon information and belief, it was not. So I want to ask the witness where he got the check in order to give it to his attorneys, considering that he has already testified he never saw it before.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: That's right, he already has said he has never seen the check before.

Having heard what Ms. Denenberg has said about the production, do you want to change your testimony in any way with respect to the \$50,000 check that a copy of which is part of Defense Exhibit E?

THE WITNESS: I think what I said is I never received the check. I never had it.

THE COURT: Right.

THE WITNESS: After the email that is the first page of Exhibit E, I did go -- maybe I saw the check then, as well -- I'm not sure -- but I did go back to my bank and ask for them to track down this deposit. At some time afterwards, which was during the course of litigation, they did provide me with a copy of what appears to be Defendants' Exhibit 19.

MR. BROWN: Just to clarify, I believe Mr. Walpert is referring to Bates-stamped D19, which is part of Defendants' Exhibit E.

Am I correct, Mr. Walpert?

THE WITNESS: Sorry about that. Yes.

THE COURT: All right.

BY MS. DENENBERG:

Do you recognize the signature on that check?

THE COURT: Asked and answered.

MS. DENENBERG: I don't think I asked that.

1 THE COURT: Are you talking about the signature 2 endorsement? Because you most certainly asked about that. 3 MS. DENENBERG: No, I quess the payor signature. 4 THE WITNESS: I can't say I recognize it, but I 5 believe it to be Mr. Ali Jaffrey's signature. BY MS. DENENBERG: 6 7 When you went back to your bank to get a copy of this, did you inquire about any other transactions by any of the 8 9 defendants? 10 Α No. 11 THE COURT: At what point did you go back to the bank? THE WITNESS: Sometime after this surfaced, this 12 13 exhibit surfaced. It was during the course of litigation. 14 THE COURT: It was during the litigation that you went 15 to your bank? THE WITNESS: That's right. Not at the time this 16 17 occurred. MS. DENENBERG: I have another exhibit. 18 19 THE COURT: Yes. 20 MS. DENENBERG: I am almost done, I promise. Famous 21 last words. 22 THE COURT: This is Defense Exhibit M? Is that what 23 we're looking at? 24 MS. DENENBERG: Yes. I'm sorry. There are extra

pages stapled to it. It's just the first three pages.

EC24WALH Cross - Walpert 1 THE COURT: All right. 2 MS. DENENBERG: I'm. sorry. 3 BY MS. DENENBERG: 4 Is this email from your K&L Gates to your Gmail? 5 Yes, it is. Α 6 Did you prepare this Email? 7 Α I did. MS. DENENBERG: I would like to move Exhibit M into 8 9 evidence. 10 MR. BROWN: No objection. THE COURT: Defense Exhibit M is received. 11 (Defendants' Exhibit M received in evidence) 12 13 BY MS. DENENBERG: 14 This is dated March 30, 2010. Q 15 That's the date of the email, yes. Α 16 Why did you prepare this? 17 It was the first outline of duties and responsibilities 18 that Mr. Syed Jaffrey and I had talked about. Did you ever show this to anybody? 19 Q I don't recall. Α

- 21 Did you copy this for anyone?
- 22 I don't think I did, but I really don't recall.
- 23 Back to Exhibit Number 1. That document provides for 24 certain benefits.
- 25 THE COURT: Next question.

- 1 BY MS. DENENBERG:
- 2 | Q Did you ever receive those benefits?
- 3 A I'm sorry. Where are you looking at?
- 4 Q I'm referring to Exhibit 1.
- $5 \parallel A \quad Yes.$
- 6 Q Health and accident plan, supplemental retirement plan,
- 7 pension plan. All under paragraph 4(c).
- 8 A I received reimbursements randomly from Mr. Jaffrey, and I
- 9 had set up a 401K plan for the receptionist we had and,
- 10 | actually, put myself into it, as well, although there were
- 11 never contributions ever made to it, except \$10, which I made
- 12 | to set it up.
- 13 | Q Who is the receptionist?
- 14 A Last name is Liang, I believe; first name, Cindy.
- 15 Q Cindy?
- 16 A I believe so, yeah.
- 17 | Q Was Cindy on the payroll?
- 18 A She was.
- 19 | Q Wasn't Cindy the only person who was on the payroll for
- 20 | Wingate?
- 21 | A She was the only one that was on payroll at Wingate, yes.
- 22 | Q Now, this agreement also provides that you are to get
- 23 | \$2,000 a month no later than the 10th of the month, and I'm
- 24 | referring to paragraph 3(b).
- 25 A I see the reference.

2

7

8

- Did you ever get your \$2,000 a month? Q
- I don't believe that that is what this says. Α
- 3 THE COURT: You worked for three years without getting 4 paid?
- 5 THE WITNESS: I received \$50,000 during this period.
- BY MS. DENENBERG: 6
 - From Delta Search Labs?
 - No, from Wingate through Delta Search Labs.
 - Did you ever get the health insurance benefits? 0
- 10 I believe I said I didn't get any of the benefits. Α
- 11 What did you do for health insurance benefits during this
- 12 time that you were working under this agreement?
- 13 During part of the time, I believe, as I recall, it was
- 14 under COBRA from K&L Gates, and then I joined a health policy
- 15 plan at Byrne Poh.
- 16 Did you ever communicate your concern -- were you concerned
- 17 that you weren't getting any health insurance benefits?
- 18 Not as long as I had health insurance, no. Α
- 19 It was okay you paid for it through COBRA?
- 20 It wasn't okay, but it was the way it was. Α
- 21 Referring to the first page of this document, Exhibit 1, at
- 22 the lower left-hand corner, there is a small doc ID number.
- 23 Α I see that.
- 24 It is like New York 778639.
- 25 Α I see that.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

Cross - Walpert

- 1 That appears to be similar to the New York footer that we 2 notated in an earlier exhibit.
 - Could you identify which exhibit that was?

Actually, that was Exhibit B and also Exhibit C. sorry. Exhibit A and Exhibit B.

- Looking at these, does that refresh your recollection as to what that footer means?
- Well, it is a document number, that is what it means, but it doesn't recollect where it came from.
- Do you know why it is only on page 1 of Exhibit 1 and not on the other pages?
- 12 Α I do not, no.
- 13 One more, probably the last exhibit. 0
- 14 Have you ever seen this before?
- 15 Α No, I have not.
- You're aware that Wingate is a Delaware corporation, 16 17 though?
- 18 I believe I remember that, yes.
- 19 Were you aware that Mr. Ali Jaffrey is the sole shareholder 20 and officer of the company?
- I am not aware of that. 21 Α
- 22 You're aware that the company was formed in January 2000?
- 23 I don't recall the exact date of the creation. I was not 24 with the company at that point in time.
- 25 As the general counsel of Wingate Capital, Inc., did you

- 1 | think it was important to know when the corporation was formed?
- 2 | A No.

- 3 | Q Did you think it was important to know who the shareholder
- 4 | and corporate officer was?
- 5 A I believe I did know who the shareholder was.
- 6 Q And the corporate officer?
- 7 A And the corporate officer.
 - Q Did you check the records with the state of Delaware?
- 9 A I did not check the records.
- 10 Q As general counsel, you didn't think that was important to
- 11 check the records of the state of Delaware?
- 12 A Not for that purpose.
- 13 MS. DENENBERG: No further questions.
- 14 | THE COURT: Any redirect?
- MR. BROWN: Very briefly, your Honor.
- 16 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 17 BY MR. BROWN:
- 18 Q Mr. Walpert, was the \$50,000 payment that we have spoken so
- 19 | much about for you personally or for Byrne Poh?
- 20 A It was for me personally.
- 21 | Q Were you entitled to both a salary and a share of
- 22 | management fees as compensation for the work that you did for
- 23 Mr. Syed Jaffrey?
- 24 | A I was.
- 25 | Q Why wasn't your compensation limited solely to a share of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the management fees?

Because that, for me at least, would have been too speculative. I needed compensation on an ongoing basis; and compensation on an ongoing basis, in my view, at least, ordinarily is not risky.

MR. BROWN: I have nothing further.

THE COURT: Anything else, Ms. Denenberg?

MS. DENENBERG: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: You may step down, Mr. Walpert.

I think the only remaining issue is briefing at this point.

Ms. Denenberg, you wish to put in additional papers?

MS. DENENBERG: No additional papers, but I do have Mr. Kamal Jaffrey here prepared to testify.

THE COURT: How long do you expect his testimony to take?

MS. DENENBERG: It is difficult to say, your Honor, and I don't know what kind of examination plaintiff's counsel will have.

THE COURT: How long is your direct examination? MS. DENENBERG: Half an hour. I don't want to make too many commitments to the Court, though, either.

THE COURT: Let me ask the court reporter how late she's willing to stay.

(Discussion off the record)

- 21 THE COURT: Where is Winchester?
- 22 THE WITNESS: In Massachusetts.
- 23 THE COURT: Winchester, Massachusetts.
- 24 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 25 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.

Direct - Jaffrey

EC24WALH

- 1 BY MS. DENENBERG:
- 2 | Q Are you a U.S. citizen?
- 3 A Yes, I am.
- Q Can you briefly tell us about your education, where you
- 5 went to college and post college.
- 6 A I went to school -- I did all my schooling here in the U.S.
- 7 | I went to Arizona State University. I did my undergraduate in
- 8 | engineering. Then, I went to Western International University.
- 9 | I did my master's in business administration. Then I went to
- 10 ASU. From there, I went to MIT, where I did my education in
- 11 | biotechnology. I also attended Harvard and I am a
- 12 | professional, I'm a PE.
- 13 Q Are you currently employed?
- 14 A Yes, I am.
- 15 | Q Where are you employed?
- 16 A I am employed at Delta Search Labs.
- 17 | Q What do you do for Delta Search Labs?
- 18 A I'm the CEO, chief executive officer.
- 19 Q Are there any other officers?
- 20 | A Yes. We have a CFO, and his name Syed Ali Jaffrey; and a
- 21 COO, chief operating officer, Syed Hasan Jaffrey. For some
- 22 | time, from 2010 to 2011, Syed Razi Haider Jaffrey, SJ, and he
- 23 was our chief strategic commercialization officer.
- 24 | Q You mentioned the CFO is Ali Jaffrey?
- 25 A Yes, he is.

- 1 | Q Is that your brother Ali?
- 2 A Yes, he is.
- 3 Q Is he a U.S. citizen?
- 4 A Yes, he is a U.S. citizen.
- 5 Q Does he reside in Winchester, Massachusetts, as well?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q You mentioned a COO, Hasan Jaffrey.
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 0 Is he a U.S. citizen?
- 10 \parallel A Yes, he is.
- 11 | Q Does he reside in Winchester, Massachusetts?
- 12 | A Yes.
- 13 | Q And Syed Razi Jaffrey -- strike that.
- 14 What is the business of Delta Search Labs?
- 15 A Delta Search Labs' model is to do inventions, and it
- 16 performs research for the government, and it performs research
- 17 | for private entities and also develops its own technologies.
- 18 And the business model is basically to develop these new
- 19 | technologies, create IP, and then launch new companies and
- 20 entities and make revenue from that.
- 21 | Q Your brother Syed Razi Jaffrey, does he work for the
- 22 | company?
- 23 A He worked for a short while when we invited him on L1 visa,
- 24 | from 2010, I think it was, 2011 or '12.
- 25 Q Did there come a time that his visa expired?

- $1 \parallel A \quad Yes.$
- 2 | Q Is he still involved with the company?
- 3 A Not directly.
- 4 | Q Where does he currently reside?
- 5 A In Europe.
- 6 | Q Is he in London?
- 7 A London, yes.
- 8 Q When was the last time you spoke with him?
- 9 A Couple of days ago.
- 10 | Q Was there a time that you spoke to him about this case?
- 11 | A Yes.
- 12 | Q Did you talk about his potential deposition in this case?
- 13 | A Yes.
- 14 THE COURT: Sustained. It is hearsay.
- 15 BY MS. DENENBERG:
- 16 | Q What did you talk about with your brother?
- 17 THE COURT: That is sustained. It is hearsay.
- 18 BY MS. DENENBERG:
- 19 Q Are you familiar with USDFM, one of the defendants here?
- 20 A I've heard about it.
- 21 | Q What is your understanding of the business of USDFM?
- 22 | THE COURT: Sustained.
- MR. BROWN: Objection.
- 24 | THE COURT: Sustained.

- BY MS. DENENBERG: 1
- 2 Do you know what the business of USDFM is.
- 3 Α To --
- 4 MR. BROWN: Objection. The answer is going to contain
- hearsay, I believe. 5
- 6 THE COURT: You need to lay a foundation.
- 7 BY MS. DENENBERG:
- Have you ever worked with USDFM? 8
- 9 Α No.
- 10 Are you familiar with a company Wingate Capital, Inc.?
- 11 Α Yes, I am.
- What is your understanding of the business -- do you know 12
- 13 what the business of Wingate Capital, Inc. is?
- 14 Α Yes.
- And what is the business of Wingate Capital, Inc.? 15
- MR. BROWN: Objection, your Honor. Lack of 16
- 17 foundation.
- 18 THE COURT: Sustained.
- BY MS. DENENBERG: 19
- 20 In what context have you worked with Wingate Capital --
- 21 THE COURT: Sustained. There is no foundation. He
- 22 hasn't testified that he worked with Wingate Capital.
- BY MS. DENENBERG: 23
- 24 Have you ever worked with Wingate Capital, Inc.?
- 25 Yeah, we collaborated with Wingate Capital, yes. Α

- When you say you "collaborated," what do you mean? Q
- 2 Basically, Wingate provided the initial funding and the Α
- funding for our lab projects. And also, there responsible for 3
- 4 commercialization of our product.
- 5 Do you know whether there are any shareholders of Wingate
- 6 Capital, Inc.?
- 7 Objection, your Honor. MR. BROWN:
- 8 THE COURT: Sustained.
- BY MS. DENENBERG: 9
- 10 Are you a shareholder of Wingate Capital, Inc.? Q
- 11 Α No, I am not.
- 12 Are you an officer of Wingate Capital, Inc.?
- 13 Α No, I am not.
- 14 Are you familiar with the plaintiff, Gary Walpert? Q
- 15 Α Yes.
- How are you familiar with him? 16 0
- 17 I have interacted with him as an IP attorney from Wilmer
- 18 Hale and K&L Gates, and Byrne Poh. That was our relationship.
- 19 How did you first come to meet him?
- 20 For intellectual property transactions and filing new
- 21 patents.
- 22 How did you first come to meet him? Who introduced you?
- 23 It was the law firm when I was interacting with Wilmer Hale
- 24 at that time, and he was the contact, Syed Jaffrey.
- 25 THE COURT: Now, when you say "Syed Jaffrey," which

1 | brother do you mean?

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

THE WITNESS: Syed Razi Jaffrey.

3 | THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.

MS. DENENBERG: Your Honor, my client frequently refers to him as SJ. For clarification, is it okay if we use SJ?

THE COURT: As long as it is understood that SJ refers to Syed Razi Haider Jaffrey.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Then we can refer to him as SJ.

Go ahead, Ms. Denenberg.

- 12 BY MS. DENENBERG:
- 13 | Q Did you ever socialize with Mr. Walpert?
- 14 A Not -- no, not -- define "socialization" here. I have
- 15 never been to parties with him or anything. Just mostly it was
- 16 | like professional relationship.
- Q When you first met him, he was working at Wilmer Hale, you
- 18 said?
- 19 | A Yes.
- 20 Q Did you work with him when he went to K&L Gates?
- 21 A Yes. Because he moved all of our files from Wilmer Hale to
- 22 K&L Gates.
- 23 | Q Did you work with him at Byrne Poh?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 | Q In what context did you work with him at Byrne Poh?

4

5

6

7

8

13

- A Intellectual property, IP, patents, that's it. That's his expertise.
 - Q Do you know why he left Wilmer Hale for K&L Gates?

MR. BROWN: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained.

- BY MS. DENENBERG:
- Q When he left Wilmer Hale, how did you come to learn he was leaving Wilmer Hale?
- 9 A He told me that he's going to leave Wilmer Hale and he is
 10 going to a new law firm, K&L Gates, and informed us as a
 11 company. And he asked us, can he move the stuff from Wilmer
 12 Hale to K&L Gates, our intellectual property materials, and we
- 14 Q Did there come a time that you learned that he left
- 15 K&L Gates?

agreed, yes.

- 16 A He informed us. It was more like a surprise.
- 17 | Q Why do you say it was a surprise?
- 18 A He said that he is moving to a small law firm.
- 19 | Q What law firm was that?
- 20 A Byrne Poh.
- 21 | Q Did you work with him at Byrne Poh?
- 22 A Excuse me?
- 23 | Q Did you work with him at Byrne Poh?
- 24 | A Yes.
- 25 Q What type of work did you do with him there?

Direct - Jaffrey

- 1 Α Intellectual property, patent applications, copyrights.
- 2 Did he ever do any employment work for Delta Search Labs? 0
- No. I don't recall. 3 Α
 - Did he do any contractual work for Delta Search Labs? Q
- 5 Α No.

4

6

8

9

10

11

- Do you know if he did any legal work for USDFM?
- 7 MR. BROWN: Objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: You got to lay a foundation. The testimony was he has never worked with USDFM. So there is utterly no foundation for the question, do you know whether he did legal work for USDFM.

- BY MS. DENENBERG: 12
- 13 Do you know if he did any legal work for Wingate Capital, Inc.? 14
- 15 MR. BROWN: Objection, your Honor. There is no 16 foundation.
- 17 THE COURT: You got to lay a foundation.
- BY MS. DENENBERG: 18
- Do you know who the employees of Wingate Capital were? 19
- 20 Α Yes.
- 21 And who were the employees of Wingate --
- 22 MR. BROWN: Objection, your Honor. I think we need to 23 know the basis of his knowledge before he can testify.
- 24 MS. DENENBERG: I will adopt that.

1 BY MS. DENENBERG:

- Q What is the basis of your knowledge of the employees of Wingate?
 - A Interaction with Wingate because we worked with Wingate, and Ali Jaffrey was with Wingate, and there was only one secretary at that time, Lilia, that I remember.
- Q Where was the offices -- where are the offices of Delta Search Labs today?
- 9 A In Cambridge, Massachusetts.
- 10 | Q How long have they been in Cambridge?
- 11 A Almost 14 years, right from inception.
- 12 Q When Mr. Walpert left Wilmer Hale, do you know what
- happened with the Delta Search Labs files that he was working
- 14 on?

4

5

- 15 A I was told that they were all moved from Wilmer Hale.
- 16 Intellectual property files and some of their files were moved
- 17 | from Wilmer Hale to K&L Gates.
- 18 Q When he left K&L Gates, do you know what happened to the
- 19 physical files of Delta Search Labs?
- 20 A I remember that Gary told me that some of the files were
- 21 moved to Byrne Poh, and some of the files were sent to his home
- 22 address and were left in the snow, and I was very surprised why
- 23 the stuff was sent to his home.
- 24 Q Have you had any discussions with SJ regarding being
- 25 deposed in this case?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Objection, your Honor. Asked and answered MR. BROWN: and hearsay.

THE COURT: It is hearsay. Sustained.

BY MS. DENENBERG:

- Did you say anything to SJ about being deposed in this case?
- I phoned them, about two weeks ago we were called to show up in --
- MR. BROWN: Objection, your Honor. There is hearsay within his answer.

THE COURT: I don't know where it is going. I mean the question was what he said to SJ, the defendant in the case.

MR. BROWN: If I might, your Honor, I agree, but I believe he began his answer by, I was called a few weeks ago and told, which to me is classic hearsay.

THE COURT: It certainly sounds like it. I don't think it was responsive to the question. I will sustain that, and I'm going to sustain the objection to that answer.

Maybe you can ask the question again and try to emphasize that what you're seeking is what this witness said and not what was said to him.

MS. DENENBERG: I think the witness may have been referring to our own conversation.

The Court is not interested in what you and I have discussed about appearing here.

- 1 BY MS. DENENBERG:
- 2 Q What I am asking is, did you say anything to SJ about
- 3 appearing -- strike it.
- 4 Have you ever been to the headquarters of Wingate
- 5 Capital, Inc.?

- 6 A What time frame?
- 7 | Q Where are the headquarters of Wingate Capital, Inc. today?
 - A Technically, in Massachusetts. Technically. But in the
- 9 past was in New York.
- 10 | Q Do you know the address?
- 11 A The current address or the old address?
- 12 | Q Do you recall the address?
- 13 THE COURT: No, he said: The current address or the
- 14 | old address?
- 15 BY MS. DENENBERG:
- 16 Q I'm sorry. The address in New York.
- 17 A I don't remember the exact address. It used to be like a
- 18 | Regis facility, like back in 2001 to 2007 or something.
- 19 O Do you know where it was located in 2010?
- 20 A I have heard about it.
- 21 | THE COURT: We don't want to hear what you've heard
- 22 about. So the answer is "no."
- 23 THE WITNESS: New York City.
- 24 BY MS. DENENBERG:
- 25 Q Were you here in New York City for the court appearance

- EC24WALH Direct - Jaffrey that was originally scheduled for November 13th? 1 2 Yes. Α And who were you with? 3 Q 4 Α Ali Jaffrey. 5 MS. DENENBERG: No further questions. 6 THE COURT: All right. Anything, Mr. Brown? 7 MR. BROWN: If I might, may I have a brief two minutes to consult with my client? 8 9 THE COURT: Sure. 10 (Pause) 11 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, if I may. 12 THE COURT: Yes, please. 13 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BROWN: 14 15 Mr. Jaffrey, have you ever been to a Wingate office at 610 Lexington Avenue here in New York City? 16 17 Α Never. 18 You mentioned in your direct examination that Mr. Walpert did some work for Delta Search Labs. Do you recall that 19 20 testimony? 21 Α Yes. 22 And you indicated that that work was in the area of intellectual property; correct? 23
- 24 | A Yes.

Q Were you aware that Mr. Walpert did work in other areas for

- Delta Search Labs? 1
- 2 From Byrne Poh? No. But he helped us as a friend in
- different matters, but only intellectual property. 3
- 4 Mr. Jaffrey, while you were at Delta Search Labs, was there 5 an insurance claim by Delta Search Labs for damage to property?
 - MS. DENENBERG: Objection, your Honor.
- 7 THE COURT: Grounds?
 - MS. DENENBERG: First of all, it is beyond the direct; and second of all, it is not even part of the complaint.
- 10 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 11 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 12 BY MR. BROWN:

8

- 13 And was there a dispute with the insurance company over how 14 much it would pay in connection with that claim?
- 15 There was no dispute. We filed the claim. Α
- Did you ultimately have to retain attorneys to help you 16
- 17 resolve that claim?
- 18 Α Yes.
- Did Mr. Walpert assist you in working with those attorneys 19
- 20 in resolving that claim?
- MS. DENENBERG: Continuing objection. It is also 21
- 22 presuming that the claim is resolved.
- 23 THE COURT: Can you rephrase?
- 24 BY MR. BROWN:
- 25 Was that claim ultimately resolved?

Not yet. Α

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 During the efforts to resolve it, was Mr. Walpert involved 0 in assisting Delta in resolving it? 3

MS. DENENBERG: Continuing objection. It is way beyond the direct, way beyond the complaint. It is an ongoing claim that is totally irrelevant.

MR. BROWN: With all due respect, your Honor, she specifically asked the witness about the nature of the legal work Mr. Walpert did for Delta, and I am exploring that very area.

THE COURT: It is clearly not beyond the scope of direct. It is clearly relevant. The objection is overruled. Answer the question.

THE WITNESS: He provided some assistance.

BY MR. BROWN:

- Do you know who asked him to provide that assistance?
- 17 I don't, but he was aware of the situation.
 - And wasn't he available, sir, because your brother SJ made him available to you for assistance in situations like this?
 - MS. DENENBERG: Objection. This is getting to the same hearsay.

THE COURT: Overruled. Anything that Mr. Syed Jaffrey said is an admission. It is not hearsay by definition.

THE WITNESS: He never directly said, but I start seeing Gary's involvement in the case because he is known as a

- EC24WALH friend for long time. 1 2 BY MR. BROWN: At some point -- strike that. 3 4 Were you familiar with a man named Mr. Hussain 5 al-Shibib? Α Excuse me? 6 7 Q Do you know a man named Mr. Hussain al-Shibib? MS. DENENBERG: Objection. Again, beyond the scope of 8 9 the direct. 10 THE COURT: Overruled. 11 THE WITNESS: I know his name. I maybe met him once 12 or twice. That's it. 13 BY MR. BROWN: 14 Isn't it true, sir, that Mr. al-Shibib sued you, among 15 others, for failure to be paid by Ovalia Resorts? 16 MS. DENENBERG: Objection. 17 THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: I don't recall that. 18 THE COURT: You don't know whether he sued you or not? 19 20 THE WITNESS: Not personally. BY MR. BROWN:
- 21

23

24

- Q Let me see if I can refresh your recollection, sir. Let me show you what we'll mark as Plaintiff's Exhibit 5 for identification.
- May I approach, your Honor?

Cross - Jaffrey

THE COURT: Yes. 1

- 2 Mr. Jaffrey, are you the Syed Ali M. Jaffrey who is listed 0 as the second-to-the-last defendant in this complaint? 3
 - No, I'm not. Α

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

18

19

- You're not. Who is that? 0
- My brother, Ali. Α
 - My apologies. Q

The Delta Search Labs that's listed as the fourth defendant was the company that you testified you are the CEO of; correct?

- That's correct.
- And were you aware that Mr. al-Shibib sued Delta Search 12
- 13 Labs, among other entities, for nonpayment of his wages?
- 14 He did, but I don't remember this thing. Α
- 15 Are you aware, sir, that Mr. Walpert assisted the
- defendants in responding to this claim by Mr. al-Shibib? 16
- 17 I don't know. Α
 - MS. DENENBERG: Objection. I don't think there's foundation for that, either.
- 20 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Could you repeat the question?
- 21 MR. BROWN: Sure. My question, your Honor, was:
- 22 he aware that Mr. Walpert assisted the defendants in defending
- this claim? 23
- 24 THE COURT: Could you rephrase the guestion?
- 25

1 BY MR. BROWN:

- 2 Q Who handled the defense of this claim for Delta Search
- 3 Labs?
- 4 A I don't remember that.
- 5 | Q I'm sorry?
- 6 A I don't remember.

THE COURT: You're the CEO of the company, and you don't remember who handled this piece of litigation against

9 your company?

10

11

13

14

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A Yes, because I have nothing to do with Hussain al-Shibib, and I was not aware of this. This was handled by Wingate and
- 12 the other companies. So we were not involved in this directly.
 - Q Mr. Jaffrey, is it true as alleged in paragraph 13 of this complaint by Mr. al-Shibib that he was paid by Delta Search
- 15 Labs for work he did for Ovalia Resorts?
- 16 A We need to look at the accounting books. I don't remember 17 this.

THE COURT: Do you have any reason to doubt the statement in this paragraph 13 of the complaint that he was paid by Delta Search Labs?

THE WITNESS: I don't remember because I never interacted with Hussain al-Shibib.

THE COURT: You never interacted with who?

THE WITNESS: This gentleman.

THE COURT: Mr. Hussain al-Shibib?

Cross - Jaffrey 1 THE WITNESS: Mr. Hussain al-Shibib, yes. 2 BY MR. BROWN: 3 Was it standard practice, Mr. Jaffrey, for payments to be 4 made by Delta Search Labs to employees of other companies 5 associated with the Jaffrey family? 6 MS. DENENBERG: Objection. 7 THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: Delta Search Labs maintains its own 8 payroll accounts. So we don't do this. I don't know what 9 10 you're asking for, sir. I don't understand. 11 BY MR. BROWN: 12 You've told me, sir, that you don't recall whether 13 Mr. al-Shibib was paid by Delta. I'm asking you whether, as a 14 general matter, other employees of different companies 15 associated with your brother were paid through Delta Search 16 Labs? 17 I don't remember, and I only know -- we only pay people who work for Delta Search Labs or interacted with Delta Search 18 Labs. 19 20 You've told us, am I correct, sir, that Mr. al-Shibib, to 21 your knowledge, did not interact with Delta Search Labs? 22 Α Yes, directly. 23 Did he interact indirectly with Delta Search Labs?

THE COURT: Overruled.

MS. DENENBERG: Note my objection.

24

- 1 THE WITNESS: Define "indirectly."
- 2 BY MS. DENENBERG:
- Sir, I'm following up on your answer. Your answer was he 3
- 4 didn't interact directly, and I'm trying to find out if he
- 5 interacted in some other way than directly.
- Α No. 6
- 7 THE COURT: You said you met this fellow al-Shibib a
- couple of times. Under what circumstances did you meet him? 8
- 9 THE WITNESS: Just for dinner or something. He came
- 10 to town, and I just met him once or twice. That's it.
- 11 BY MR. BROWN:
- 12 Did you know him as an employee of Ovalia Resorts,
- 13 Incorporated?
- 14 Excuse me? Α
- 15 Did you know that he worked for Ovalia Resorts,
- 16 Incorporated?
- 17 He worked for -- I don't know the exact thing where he
- worked. I know he worked for one of the companies. 18
- 19 Is Ovalia Resorts a company that you've heard of before?
- 20 Α Yes.
- 21 How do you know of that company?
- 22 Basically, from my brother, and I was not involved in any
- 23 of the Ovalia affairs.
- 24 When you say from your brother, can you specify which
- 25 brother.

1 A SJ.

3

4

5

6

7

8

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2 Q SJ. Thank you.

Am I correct that you don't know whether Mr. al-Shibib was an employee of Ovalia Resorts or not?

- A I don't know the details.
- Q You know he worked with one of your brother's companies?
- A That's correct.
 - Q But not which one?
- 9 A That's correct.

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would move Defendants'

Exhibit 5 into evidence.

12 | THE COURT: Is there an objection?

MS. DENENBERG: The objection would be that this is a complaint from Mississippi, and it is just the allegations of the plaintiff. Clearly, it is not necessarily factual. It is allegations contained in the complaint.

THE COURT: It is a public record. Even if it wasn't received in evidence, I could take judicial notice of it because it is a public record. I don't think it is being offered for the truth of all of the statements in it.

Is it, Mr. Brown?

MR. BROWN: No, your Honor, it is not.

THE COURT: Then I will receive it. Is it Defense Exhibit 5?

MR. BROWN: It is, your Honor. I'm sorry.

```
Cross - Jaffrey
```

- Plaintiff's Exhibit 5. 1
- 2 THE COURT: Plaintiff's Exhibit 5, I will receive it,
- not for the truth of the matters asserted in it, but as a 3
- 4 public record.
- 5 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 5 received in evidence)
- BY MR. BROWN: 6
- 7 Mr. Jaffrey, are you aware of a man with the last name
- Alkazi. 8
- 9 Α Yes.
- 10 THE COURT: Can you spell that for the court reporter.
- 11 MR. BROWN: A-L-K-A-Z-I.
- 12 THE COURT: Is that hyphenated?
- 13 MR. BROWN: It is not.
- 14 THE COURT: Okay.
- BY MR. BROWN: 15
- Is Mr. Alkazi a long-time family friend of the Jaffrey 16
- 17 family?
- 18 Α Yes.
- At some point in time did Mr. Alkazi make some allegations 19
- 20 against Delta Search Labs?
- 21 No. Not directly. Not directly. Α
- 22 Isn't it true that, in fact, that Mr. Alkazi wrote an email
- 23 to you saying that Delta Search Labs was quilty of what
- 24 amounted to misappropriation of some intellectual property
- 25 he --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

MS. DENENBERG: Counsel is reading from the email that hasn't been offered into evidence.

THE COURT: Counsel can read from anything he wants to and ask him a question. He can read from the phone book, if he wants to.

Repeat the question.

BY MR. BROWN:

- Isn't it true, sir, that Mr. Alkazi wrote an email to you that accused Delta Search Labs of misappropriating some inventions that he had developed?
- You'll have to show me that email, please.
- 12 I would be happy to.

THE COURT: You don't remember as you sit there today whether you ever got an email from Mr. Alkazi making claims of misappropriation? You don't remember that?

THE WITNESS: I got a lot of emails from him, and he sent me angry emails, as well.

BY MR. BROWN:

- Mr. Jaffrey, I'm giving you what we have marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 for identification. Is that, in fact, an email that you received on or about April 19th of 2012?
- 22 Α Yes.
 - MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would move this into evidence.
- 25 MS. DENENBERG: I'm just trying to read it. I didn't

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

bring my reading glasses.

I'll just note my objection. There is a lot of detail and a lot of irrelevant material. It is also written super tiny, so I'm having trouble reading it.

THE COURT: What is the relevance, Mr. Brown, of Plaintiff's Exhibit 6?

MR. BROWN: As I will develop, Mr. Walpert assisted Delta Search Labs responding to these allegations.

THE COURT: I'm not receiving Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 for its truth but, rather, in connection with the claim that Mr. Walpert has made that he provided legal services to the Jaffreys in a variety of ways. On that basis, Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 is received.

MR. BROWN: Thank you.

(Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 received in evidence)

BY MR. BROWN:

- Mr. Jaffrey, isn't it, in fact, true that Mr. Walpert assisted Delta in responding to the allegations from
- Mr. Alkazi? 19
- 20 Yes, because he is an intellectual property attorney, and 21 he is aware of the technologies developed in the lab, and there 22 was allegations that we took his technology, which is not 23 correct. The stuff was developed inside our labs.
- 24 project was developed.
- 25 In answer to my question, this was, in fact, a legal matter

EC24WALH Cross - Jaffrey

- 1 that Mr. Walpert assisted you with; correct?
- 2 MS. DENENBERG: Note my objection to a legal matter.
- THE COURT: Overruled. 3
- 4 THE WITNESS: It was a typical matter that
- 5 intellectual property lawyers work from Byrne Poh.
- BY MR. BROWN: 6
- 7 When you told us before, sir, that Mr. Walpert had assisted
- 8 you with intellectual property type matters, this was one of
- the matters you were referring to? 9
- 10 Α Yes.
- 11 Did the law firm of Ropes & Gray also provide services to
- 12 Delta Search Labs?
- 13 Α Yes.
- 14 Did the law firm of Ropes & Gray allege that it wasn't paid
- in full for the services it provided? 15
- Actually, they were paid before, and they were paid 16
- 17 intermittently, but at the last, they were not paid for certain
- services they provide for Chubb, claims and some other matters. 18
- 19 And did they threaten to sue Delta Search Labs if they
- 20 weren't paid what they claimed they were owed?
- 21 Α Yes.
- 22 Wasn't Mr. Walpert asked to negotiate with them to try to
- 23 resolve this dispute?
- 24 Mr. Walpert was always involved as an IP quy from my side
- 25 during our project developments. So he was aware of the

1 situation.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: You got to listen to the question and try to answer the question. Listen to the question. Try to answer the question. The question was: Did Mr. Walpert assist Delta Search Labs in connection with Ropes & Gray's threat to sue for legal fees? That's the question. What's the answer?

THE WITNESS: The answer is partially, yes.

THE COURT: And could you explain want you mean by "partially, yes"?

THE WITNESS: Because I was also talking to the law firm directly.

THE COURT: All right. So both you and Mr. Walpert were talking to Ropes & Gray about this dispute over legal fees?

THE WITNESS: That's correct.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

BY MR. BROWN:

In around November of 2012, did the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, Office of the Attorney General, raise some issues with Delta Search Labs about payment to its employees?

Α I don't remember --

MS. DENENBERG: Objection. Delta Search Labs isn't even a defendant. So what would a Massachusetts issue with Delta Search Labs have anything to do with this case?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Well, the allegation is that Delta Search Labs was funneling money through Wingate and that was a pattern and practice. That's the allegation. Right?

MR. BROWN: The additional allegation, your Honor, is that at Mr. Syed Jaffrey's request, Mr. Walpert performed services for all the various Jaffrey companies for which he is entitled to compensation.

MS. DENENBERG: And Delta Search Labs paid him \$50,000.

THE COURT: You just told me Delta Search Labs doesn't have anything to do with this case.

MS. DENENBERG: No, no, no.

THE COURT: Your adversary has explained why it is central to the case, and now I want to hear your response.

MS. DENENBERG: Because now he is talking about some issue with Massachusetts, the state. I don't see what that has to do -- and that's completely --

THE COURT: Well, I assume based on the prior record that it is going to turn out to be the case that Mr. Walpert provided some assistance in that regard. Is that where this is headed?

> MR. BROWN: That is, your Honor.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

BY MR. BROWN:

Mr. Jaffrey, isn't it correct that the Massachusetts

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

17

18

20

Attorney General undertook an investigation of Delta for nonpayment of payroll taxes?

- For payroll taxes? You got to ask that question again.
- Sure. Rather than characterizing it, let me show you what we'll mark as Plaintiff's exhibit 7 for identification.

Mr. Jaffrey, is Plaintiff's Exhibit 7 a letter that was sent to you by the Office of the Attorney General of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts on or about November 5, 2012?

- Yes, I can see that, yeah.
- Is that letter seeking documents and other information from Delta Search Labs?
- 12 Α Yes.
- And isn't it true, sir, that Mr. Walpert was asked to speak 14 to the Office of the Attorney General about this request?
- 15 There was another attorney, but yes, he also spoke to the 16 people.
 - Do you know who asked Mr. Walpert to speak with the Office of the Attorney General about this matter?
- 19 I don't remember exactly the request. Α
 - Was it your brother SJ who asked him to do that?
- 21 I don't remember that, sir.
- 22 THE COURT: Did you ask him to help you with it?
- 23 THE WITNESS: I informed him because Gary -- I'm
- sorry -- Mr. Walpert is our intellectual property attorney --24
- 25 THE COURT: I know, you have said that a number of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

times, but this isn't an intellectual property matter. They're making an allegation that you're not paying payroll taxes. This isn't IP. There is no intellectual property inquiry going It is an inquiry about whether you're violating Massachusetts law with respect to the wage and hour. That's what the letter is about. My question to you is whether you asked Mr. Walpert to help you deal with this issue that was raised by the Massachusetts Attorney General's Office.

THE WITNESS: I informed him. I informed him because he was aware of the situation in the lab after the flooding in 2010.

BY MR. BROWN:

How did you know he was aware of that situation?

Because he was working as an intellectual property lawyer. Α

He used to visit our lab, and he was aware of all the

situation, and we were interacting with these other law firms 16

in the past, K&L Gates, so he introduced me to other lawyers

there, as well. When he moved from K&L Gates to the other

company, he moved our account with him. Basically, as a

lawyer, my intellectual property lawyer, because there was a

21 lot of intellectual property damaged during the flooding in the

22 lab --

> THE COURT: I'm completely confused. This doesn't have anything to do with an insurance claim.

> > Have you read this letter? Have you seen this letter

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

before? It is addressed to you.

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. I don't remember the whole --

THE COURT: Take a moment. It is not about an insurance claim. It is about whether your company, the company

that you said that you were the CEO of, whether it was paying

its employees properly.

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: That's what it is about.

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: It is not about an insurance claim. I want to make sure that you're not confused about what the substance of the letter is.

THE WITNESS: I do understand. This is due to the insurance claim after the flooding. We were unable to pay people. Because employment was at-will and somebody complained. This matter was resolved because I had a proper labor lawyer for this.

THE COURT: What you're saying is you stopped paying your employees because of the outstanding insurance claim?

THE WITNESS: No, because after the flood, there was no work. The lab was destroyed, basically.

BY MR. BROWN:

Mr. Jaffrey, isn't it true that whenever you had any legal issue at Delta Search Labs, you involved Gary Walpert in that issue?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Cross - Jaffrey

I usually informed him. But I used to ask him: Gary, what is our contact with us? Because I know that our relationship is only with Byrne Poh. But since he is a senior person and he is involved in our work, day-and-night-type work, intellectual property, so he was aware of that. So he used to discuss these matters. But I did ask him many times, how you're compensated, and he said, don't worry about it, it's just like I'm doing it. I know official relationship with Delta Search and Byrne Poh was through Mr. Walpert. THE COURT: Are you offering Plaintiff's Exhibit 7. MR. BROWN: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Any objection? MS. DENENBERG: No objection. THE COURT: Plaintiff's Exhibit 7 is received. (Plaintiff's Exhibit 7 received in evidence) BY MR. BROWN: Mr. Jaffrey, at some point, your company paid \$50,000 to

- Gary Walpert; correct?
- That's correct. Α
 - And you asked Mr. Walpert to provide his Social Security number after that payment was made. Correct?
 - MS. DENENBERG: Objection. We know it was Ali that asked him that.
 - THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: It was not me directly, but it was a

- 3 BY MR. BROWN:
- 4 Were you aware that your brother Ali made that request to
- 5 Mr. Walpert?
- 6 Α Yes.

1

2

9

- 7 Did you know why your CPA wanted Mr. Walpert's personal
- Social Security number after that payment was made? 8
 - Yes, for filing 1099. Α

request of our CPA.

- 10 A 1099 to Mr. Walpert personally; correct?
- 11 Α Yes.
- 12 I have nothing further, your Honor. MR. BROWN:
- 13 THE COURT: Anything else, Ms. Denenberg?
- 14 MS. DENENBERG: No. No further questions.
- 15 THE COURT: You can step down.
- All right. Does either side wish to offer any other 16
- 17 evidence?
- 18 MR. BROWN: Not from plaintiffs. Thank you, your
- 19 Honor.
- 20 THE COURT: Ms. Denenberg?
- 21 MS. DENENBERG: Well, to be honest with you, I thought
- 22 of a few questions I forgot to ask Mr. Walpert. If the Court
- 23 will allow me, I would like to call him back.
- 24 THE COURT: No.
- 25 MS. DENENBERG: Okay. I just thought I'd ask.

THE COURT: Any other proof that you wish to offer?

MS. DENENBERG: Well, if the Court will give me time to subpoena some records.

THE COURT: No. I already gave you an extra day. I broke yesterday even though it required plaintiff's counsel and plaintiff to return to court today. You offered me no explanation why you weren't prepared to conduct the cross-examination of Mr. Walpert yesterday. I'm not going to give you additional time to offer additional evidence. This hearing was scheduled long ago. You've had plenty of advanced notice, and there is utterly no reason to delay the proceedings further.

Do you have any evidence you wish to offer today?

MS. DENENBERG: Well, as we've already discussed,

obviously, I'm opposing the default. I would like discovery to

proceed. I would like to get to the merits of the case. The

Court has, within its discretion, to order a deposition in

Canada, Bermuda, London. Mr. SJ, Syed Jaffrey, is prepared to

pay for this. These are all things we discussed. So I just

want to get to the merits of the case.

THE COURT: All right. So I think we should proceed to briefing on the outstanding motion for default judgment. So the schedule is going to be, first, an opposition from defendants and then a reply. My inclination, Ms. Denenberg, is to give you a week to put in your opposition and then to give

Mr. Brown a week to put in his reply. Given the extensive delays that, unfortunately, have occurred in the case, I'm reluctant to give a longer schedule than that. But I will hear anyone who wants to address the matter.

MS. DENENBERG: Just that I'm a little confused because we have fully briefed this, I thought.

THE COURT: I'm just giving you an opportunity,

Ms. Denenberg. If you don't want to put anything else in, I'm happy to rule on what has been submitted already. It is up to you. Just an opportunity. If you don't want to take the opportunity, I will decide the matter based on what has been submitted.

MS. DENENBERG: Can I have a day to think about it?

I'm wondering, if I waive that, is plaintiff's counsel

similarly going to waive that?

THE COURT: Mr. Brown, do you want to put in anything else?

MR. BROWN: If Ms. Denenberg opts not to, I can opt not to, as well.

MS. DENENBERG: Can I speak to plaintiff's counsel tomorrow about it?

THE COURT: I will tell counsel that I am concerned about an issue that came up today. I believe I heard

Mr. Walpert testify that he was a member of U.S. Defense Fund

Management, LLC. We've referred to that as USDFM today. U.S.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Defense Fund Management, LLC, is a defendant in the case. If, in fact, Mr. Walpert is a member of U.S. Defense Fund Management, LLC, it raises an issue as to whether the Court has subject matter jurisdiction. The Court's subject matter jurisdiction here is based on diversity of citizenship. requires that there be complete diversity between the plaintiff and all the defendants, and I'm concerned, based on the testimony I heard today, as to whether that is true. So I'm highlighting that issue for you now, and I was going to give the parties an opportunity to address the matter. If they don't want to address the matter, I'm not going to make them do that.

So tell me what your wishes are.

Mr. Brown.

MR. BROWN: I think in light of the question raised by the Court and given the fact that clearly was not an issue briefed before that supplemental briefing is probably warranted, and we're prepared to live with the schedule which the Court proposed, which as I took it was December 9th for defendant's opposition and December 16th for plaintiff's reply.

THE COURT: Again, Ms. Denenberg, it is up to you whether you wish to put in anything else or not. entirely up to you. If you do wish to submit anything else to the Court in connection with the motion for default judgment, you will do so by December 9th; and then plaintiff can put his

EC24WALH Cross - Jaffrey reply in by December 16th. Is there anything else anyone wants to raise tonight? MR. BROWN: Not from plaintiff, your Honor. MS. DENENBERG: No, sir. THE COURT: I would like to thank the court reporter for her indulgence. I very much appreciate it. Thank you. All right. We're adjourned. (Adjourned)

1	INDEX OF EXAMINATION
2	Examination of: Page
3	GARY WALPERT
4	Cross By Ms. Denenberg 3
5	Redirect By Mr. Brown
6	SYED KAMAL HAIDER JAFFREY
7	Direct By Ms. Denenberg
8	Cross By Mr. Brown
9	PLAINTIFF EXHIBITS
10	Exhibit No. Received
11	5
12	6
13	7
14	DEFENDANT EXHIBITS
15	Exhibit No. Received
16	A
17	в
18	C
19	D
20	E
21	s F and G
22	н
23	s I, J, and K
24	L
25	M